



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Patrick Meyfroidt,
Université Catholique de Louvain, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE
Albie F. Miles
✉ albie@hawaii.edu

RECEIVED 23 August 2025
ACCEPTED 27 August 2025
PUBLISHED 03 October 2025

CITATION
Miles AF, Phipps BE and Berry EM (2025)
Editorial: Food system transformation and the
realization of the UN Sustainable
Development Goals.
Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 9:1691198.
doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2025.1691198

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Miles, Phipps and Berry. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Editorial: Food system transformation and the realization of the UN Sustainable Development Goals

Albie F. Miles^{1*}, Brandy E. Phipps² and Elliot M. Berry³

¹Sustainable Community Food Systems Program, University of Hawaii–West Oahu, Kapolei, HI, United States, ²Department of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Central State University, Wilberforce, OH, United States, ³Braun School of Public Health, Hebrew University–Hadassah Faculty of Medicine, Jerusalem, Israel

KEYWORDS

UN Sustainable Development Goals, transformation, agroecology, food systems, food systems planning, socio-ecological system (SES), food policy, sustainability

Editorial on the Research Topic

Food system transformation and the realization of the UN Sustainable Development Goals

For over half a century, the international scientific community has increasingly recognized that global and regional agriculture and food systems (agri-food systems) are at a critical crossroads, confronting intersecting biophysical, socio-economic, and ethical challenges [Carson, 1962; Altieri, 1989; National Research Council, 1989; Harwood, 2020; Rockström et al., 2009; Gliessman et al., 2022; Springmann et al., 2018; High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE), 2020; IPCC, 2022; Gallardo, 2024]. These pressing issues include, but are not limited to significant greenhouse gas emissions and the impacts of anthropogenic climate destabilization (Porter et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2020; Miles and Hoy, 2023), agroecosystem and environmental degradation (Gliessman et al., 2022; Gallardo, 2024), unsustainable fossil energy use (Pimentel et al., 2005; Crippa et al., 2021), loss of biological and cultural diversity (Swift and Anderson, 1993; Altieri, 1999; Kremen and Miles, 2012), dispossession and marginalization of Indigenous peoples and their foodways (Malli et al., 2023), malnutrition and negative public health outcomes (Fanzo et al., 2022), exposure of non-target organisms and human populations to high-risk agrochemicals (Hayes et al., 2002; Hertz-Picciotto et al., 2018; Coleman et al., 2025), profound social inequalities (Alkon and Agyeman, 2011; Shostak, 2023), exploitation, persecution, and systemic vulnerabilities imposed on human agri-food labor (Blackstone et al., 2023; Bhattacharjee, 2023), commodification and unnecessary harm of sentient non-human animals (Stuart and Gunderson, 2020; Birch, 2024), and the longstanding inequities in access to quality food at the state, regional, national, and international levels [Singleton et al., 2023; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Food Programme (WFP), and World Health Organization (WHO), 2023].

In response to these converging agri-food issues and crises, leading scientists, scientific bodies, NGOs, national governments, and international agencies have issued numerous calls for the fundamental transformation of global agri-food systems (Juri et al., 2024; Abdulai and Béné, 2025) to address social and ecological externalities and promote sustainable human development [Inter Academy Partnership (IAP), 2018; Willett et al., 2019; Webb et al., 2020; Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2022; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2023; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 2023]. The scientific literature cited

herein identifies the interconnections between agriculture, food systems and a broad set of persistent social, economic, public health, ecological, and ethical challenges that are directly or indirectly aligned with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This body of research emphasizes the urgent need for food system change based on the principles of ecological sustainability, social equity, and the promotion of human wellbeing (Gliessman, 2000; Miles et al., 2017; Willett et al., 2019; Webb et al., 2020). Specifically, these calls to action seek to: strengthen the economic viability of regional agri-food systems in the face of agri-food globalization; improve public health outcomes through expanded access to nutritious and culturally-appropriate foods and dietary programs; promote social equity through Fair Trade, improved labor standards and other values-based supply chains; build resilience to natural and human-induced shocks across the agri-food sector; advance environmental sustainability through the scaling of agroecological, certified organic and related production practices; and ensure the widespread adoption of diets that promote human and planetary health (DeLonge et al., 2016; Reganold and Wachter, 2016; Eyhorn et al., 2019; Fanzo, 2019; Herrero et al., 2021; Zurek et al., 2022).

Numerous international science-policy consortia and related frameworks have explicitly and consistently pointed to the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development [United Nations (UN), 2015] as a unifying framework to guide, benchmark, and measure progress in addressing the social and ecological crises originating in, and propagated through, agri-food systems [Inter Academy Partnership (IAP), 2018; Willett et al., 2019; Fanzo et al., 2021; Schneider et al., 2023]. Given that agriculture and food systems influence 16 of the 17 UN SDGs, directly or indirectly, the transformation of the global food system is both urgent and essential to realizing these goals [Béné et al., 2019; High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE), 2020; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2023].

Agri-food systems are central to the achievement of the 17 SDGs and exert direct influence on key targets related to hunger, nutrition, social equity, the sustainable use of land and water resources, biodiversity conservation, ecosystem health, and climate change adaptation and mitigation (Fanzo et al., 2021; Schneider et al., 2023). For example, SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production), SDG 14 (Life Below Water), and SDG 15 (Life on Land) explicitly call for sustainable agricultural production, food security, biodiversity conservation, and reductions in agricultural food loss and post-consumer waste [United Nations (UN), 2015; Herrero et al., 2021; Gliessman, 2025]. Further, agriculture and food systems influence progress across multiple UN SDGs. By participating in both large and small markets, the agri-food sector supports rural income and livelihoods, advancing SDG 1 (No Poverty). It also shapes public health and nutrition outcomes, directly contributing to SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being). In addition, through its significant impacts on the quantity, quality, and equitable access to freshwater resources, the sector plays a central role in achieving SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation). As a key driver of global GDP, the food and agriculture sector contributes to the realization of SDG 8 (Decent Work and Economic Growth) by providing employment opportunities and promoting overall economic

growth. Agri-food systems also impact SDG 9 (Industry Innovation and Infrastructure) as they contribute to, and are shaped by, technological innovation and infrastructure development [United Nations (UN), 2015; Herrero et al., 2021; Gliessman, 2025]. Urban agriculture and food systems can meaningfully contribute to advancing SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) by providing food and green spaces, while playing a significant role in climate change adaptation and mitigation SDG 13 (Climate Action) globally (Clinton et al., 2018; Valentini et al., 2019; Li et al., 2024).

In addition, agri-food systems promote progress on SDG 4 (Quality Education) by impacting human nutrition and learning. Transdisciplinary agroecology and sustainable food system education, in particular, play an important role in supporting SDG 4 by fostering a deeper understanding of sustainable farming practices, food security, inequality, environmental stewardship and the structural obstacles and opportunities for realizing sustainability and equity goals aligned with multiple SDGs (Ebel et al., 2020; Bates et al., 2022). SDG 5 (Gender Equality) is advanced by agri-food systems by providing women and girls with access to natural and capital resources, decision-making opportunities and access to quality food. SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities) is promoted by ensuring safe and equitable labor conditions in the agri-food sector, enabling inclusive market participation, providing access to quality food and promoting healthy diets. Participatory city, regional and state food system planning and governance serve to advance multiple SDGs simultaneously, including SDG 16 (Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions) Zougiris et al. National and international collaboration on agroecology and sustainable food system research and education, along with the promotion of equitable food trade and investment, all promote SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) and can accelerate progress toward achieving the entire 2030 Agenda [European Commission, 2020; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), 2023; Gliessman, 2025]. Overall, agri-food systems influence at least 16 of the 17 SDGs, underscoring the need to prioritize agri-food system change in policy and planning discourse around the realization of the UN SDGs. Integrated approaches to food system research, planning, policy, investment, and monitoring are necessary to simultaneously address productivity, health, equity, economic viability, sustainability, and resilience [Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2025; Juri et al., 2024; Gliessman, 2025].

Advancing these goals through agri-food system change will require the development of new initiatives and the social intellectual, economic and political infrastructure that fosters interdisciplinary and applied research, supports state and regional planning, advances policy integration, and enables evidence-based governance and scientific monitoring¹ across scales (Feenstra, 2002; Elsner et al., 2023; Miles and Hoy, 2023; Fanzo and Miachon, 2023; Gomes et al., 2024).

¹ See for example: Measuring Sustainability in Food Systems: Advancing Scientific Indicator and Metric Systems for Monitoring Progress Toward the UN Sustainable Development Goals. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, Social Movements, Institutions and Governance*.

This Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems Research Topic explores the role of food system transformation in realizing the UN SDGs by highlighting innovations, case studies, challenges, and strategies from diverse global contexts. The following 29 articles are organized thematically to underscore their key contributions to both knowledge and practice. They provide worldwide coverage of key topics, with contributions coming from Asia, Africa, Europe, North and South America, Oceania, and the Middle East.

1 Food waste, diet, and consumption patterns

Al Khatib et al. presented a cross-sectional study of food waste in Al Ahsa, Saudi Arabia, revealing excessive household food loss driven by cultural practices and a lack of awareness, with implications for the country's SDG 12 goal. An et al. assessed the perceived risks and risk-reduction behaviors with seafood consumption in South Korea, identifying key health concerns and behavioral modifications that shape consumer choices. Wyma and Niesing examined how dietary shifts in healthcare systems in the United States, Austria and Taiwan reduce food-related greenhouse gas emissions and offer health co-benefits.

2 Public health, nutrition, and gender equity

Goh et al. conducted a transdisciplinary research project examining global food environments and their effect on diet-related health outcomes, emphasizing the roles of policy, infrastructure, and education in shaping sustainable and healthy diets. Adam et al. evaluate gender equity in aquatic food systems globally through the lens of sustainable food systems, revealing institutional blind spots and a range of strategies to empower women. Dubey et al. highlighted lessons from Odisha, India, where community-based aquaculture initiatives enhance nutrition and women's empowerment through the co-management of natural resources.

3 Food system planning, digital infrastructure, and agri-food governance

Zougris et al. found strong support among U.S. food planning practitioners for a formalized National Community of Practice (NCoP) built around shared governance, trust-based collaboration, and alignment with the UN SDGs. Hyink et al. examined how measuring factors beyond financial resources, such as food access and sovereignty, can provide a more comprehensive understanding of food insecurity and contribute to achieving the UN SDG 2: Zero Hunger. Han et al., in "Leveraging digital infrastructure for sustainable grain production: evidence from China" illustrated how digital tools can enhance food productivity

and resilience. Del Valle et al. provided a comprehensive analysis of public food security programs in Chile, offering cross-country lessons for policy alignment to effectively address food security challenges.

4 Agroecology, ecosystem services, climate adaptation, and mitigation

Ansari et al. evaluated the potential of climate-resilient agricultural practices in India to sequester atmospheric carbon under different climate and land-use scenarios using the Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services Tool (InVEST). Kwapong et al. used a mixed-methods approach to examine climate-smart agriculture (CSA) in Ghana, finding that while adoption is motivated by food security, income, and climate concerns, farmers prefer low-cost, low-labor practices suited to local contexts, exposing a mismatch with funder-driven strategies and interventions. Aryee et al. provided a conceptual framework to understand the interconnections between food insecurity and ecosystem services in Africa. To counter agricultural land degradation, water scarcity, and population growth, Mashatleh et al. explored the role of bacterial biofertilizers as a means to increase both crop yield and ecological sustainability of farming systems in the Kingdom of Jordan. Johansson et al. assessed the climate vulnerability of agroecological and conventional smallholders in Mvomero district, Tanzania.

5 Agriculture and the conservation of heritage landscapes

Medina Hidalgo et al. examined the sugarcane industry in Fiji, balancing cultural identity with adaptation to climate and economic stressors. Du et al. explored ecological compensation strategies to conserve agricultural heritage, focusing on the mountainous *Juglans hopeiensis* planting system in Beijing, China.

6 Industrial development, technological innovation, trade, and land use

Yusheng et al. used provincial data (2006–2021) to show that government-led improvements in farmland infrastructure and quality significantly increase farmer income, highlighting farmland improvements as a key factor in rural income growth and food security in China. Huang and Fu examined the factors affecting the willingness of farmers in Henan Province of Central China to engage in traceability systems—monitoring agricultural products from farm to point of sale—to promote the adoption of sustainable agriculture practices. Zhu et al. assessed land-use conflicts in Yancheng City, China, calling for multifunctional land management strategies to address food insecurity and realize SDG 2: Zero Hunger. Yan et al. conducted a systematic literature review on eco-product value realization and rural revitalization, exploring their potential for controlling karst desertification, with

insights spanning from 1997 to 2023. [Ajaj et al.](#) highlighted how Industry 4.0 technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics, can revolutionize food and agriculture and contribute to the realization of SDG 1: No Poverty by improving productivity, sustainability, and food security, with a focus on empowering vulnerable populations in Africa.

7 System indicators and frameworks

[Shon and Miles](#) prioritized key food security indicators and metrics for the U.S. state of Hawaii, highlighting replicable methodologies for designing context-sensitive SDG indicators and metrics. [Yuting and Meng](#) presented a food security indicator system for China with integrative tracking mechanisms, showing that while food security improved from 1961 to 2019, challenges such as low urban wages before 2015, rapid population growth thereafter, and declining dietary diversity remain major threats, and underscoring the need for targeted policies. [Wei et al.](#) showed that China's food security improved from 1980 to 2017, with a mostly stable supply—demand balance supported by government policies. However, occasional shortages arose influenced by climate variability, natural disasters, and land use changes.

8 Social innovation and community resilience

[Dejene et al.](#) examined subjective resilience among women and youth in social innovation projects in five Ethiopian regions. [de Vries et al.](#) presented a study of 52 European food system cases that highlighted the role of inclusive partnerships, including private sector actors, smallholders, and academics, in co-creating sustainable value, identifying public and civil society actors as key to scaling interactions. [Nzima et al.](#) examined diversity within smallholder vegetable farming systems in Malawi and its effect on food security and income, offering important insights into achieving food system resilience. To address hunger and increase resilience throughout the food system, [Soma et al.](#) investigated whether spirulina protein, a nutrient-rich, blue-green algae, is a suitable alternative to fishmeal protein normally used to feed juvenile Nile tilapia in aquaculture systems.

This Frontiers in Sustainable Food System Research Topic contributes to the scientific and applied foundations for advancing the UN SDGs through food system change at the regional, national and international levels. Taken together, the studies

collected here emphasize that meaningful transformation requires agroecological and technological innovation, participatory governance, the development of new social infrastructure, context-specific measurement tools, social innovation, and sustained investment from both the public and private sectors. We hope that this Research Topic will help guide and inspire food system transformations toward the achievement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals.

Author contributions

AM: Writing – original draft, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration. BP: Writing – review & editing. EB: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Abdulai, A.-R., and Béné, C. (2025). *How to Normatively Transform Food Systems: Propositions of a Holistic Framework of Politics (Elements of Sustainability: Science, Policy, Practice)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781009509633
- Alkon, A. H., and Agyeman, J. (Eds.). (2011). *Cultivating Food Justice: Race, Class, and Sustainability*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Altieri, M. A. (1989). Agroecology: a new research and development paradigm for world agriculture. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 27, 37–46. doi: 10.1016/0167-8809(89)90070-4
- Altieri, M. A. (1999). "The ecological role of biodiversity in agroecosystems," in *Invertebrate Biodiversity as Bioindicators of Sustainable Landscapes* (Elsevier), 19–31. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-50019-9.50005-4
- Bates, R., Brenner, B., Schmid, E., Steiner, G., and Vogel, S. (2022). Towards meta-competences in higher education for tackling complex real-world problems—a cross disciplinary review. *Int. J. Sust. High. Educ.* 23, 290–308. doi: 10.1108/IJSHE-06-2021-0243

- Béné, C., Prager, S. D., Achicanoy, H. A., Toro, P. A., Lamotte, L., Bonilla, C., et al. (2019). Global map and indicators of food system sustainability. *Sci. Data* 6:279. doi: 10.1038/s41597-019-0301-5
- Bhattacharjee, S. S. (2023). Bitter harvest: supply chain oppression and the legal exclusion of agricultural workers. *U. Ill. L. Rev.* (2023) 1337. Available online at: <https://illinoislawreview.org/print/vol-2023-no-4/bitter-harvest/>
- Birch, J. (2024). *The Edge of Sentence: Risk and Precaution in Humans, Other Animals, and AI*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Blackstone, N. T., Rodríguez-Huerta, E., Battaglia, K., Jackson, B., Jackson, E., Benoit Norris, C., et al. (2023). Forced labour risk is pervasive in the US land-based food supply. *Nat. Food* 4, 596–606. doi: 10.1038/s43016-023-00794-x
- Carson, R. (1962). *Silent Spring*. Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Clark, M. A., Domingo, N. G. G., Colgan, K., Thakrar, S. K., Tilman, D., Lynch, J., et al. (2020). Global food system emissions could preclude achieving the 1.5° and 2° C climate change targets. *Science* 370, 705–708. doi: 10.1126/science.aba7357
- Clinton, N., Stuhlmacher, M., Miles, A., Uludere Aragon, N., Wagner, M., Georgescu, M., et al. (2018). A global geospatial ecosystem services estimate of urban agriculture. *Earth's Fut.* 6, 40–60. doi: 10.1002/2017EF000536
- Coleman, B., Asad, I., Heng, Y. Y., Menard, L., Were, F. H., Thomas, M. R., et al. (2025). Pesticides and neurodevelopment of children in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 20:e0324375. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0324375
- Crippa, M., Solazzo, E., Guizzardi, D., Monforti-Ferrario, F., Tubiello, F. N., and Leip, A. (2021). Food systems are responsible for a third of global anthropogenic GHG emissions. *Nat. Food* 2, 198–209. doi: 10.1038/s43016-021-00225-9
- DeLonge, M. S., Miles, A., and Carlisle, L. (2016). Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 55, 266–273. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2015.09.013
- Ebel, R., Ahmed, S., Valley, W., Jordan, N., Grossman, J., Byker Shanks, C., et al. (2020). Co-design of adaptable learning outcomes for sustainable food systems undergraduate education. *Front. Sust. Food Syst.* 4:568743. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.568743
- Elsner, F., Herzig, C., and Strassner, C. (2023). Agri-food systems in sustainability transition: a systematic literature review on recent developments on the use of the multi-level perspective. *Front. Sust. Food Syst.* 7:1207476. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2023.1207476
- European Commission (2020). *A Farm to Fork Strategy for a Fair, Healthy and Environmentally-Friendly Food System*. European Union. Available online at: https://food.ec.europa.eu/horizontal-topics/farm-fork-strategy_en (Accessed August 1, 2025).
- Eyhorn, F., Muller, A., Reganold, J. P., Frison, E., Herren, H. R., Luttikholt, L., et al. (2019). Sustainability in global agriculture driven by organic farming. *Nat. Sust.* 2, 253–255. doi: 10.1038/s41893-019-0266-6
- Fanzo, J. (2019). Healthy and sustainable diets and food systems: the key to achieving sustainable development goal 2?. *Food Ethics* 4, 159–174. doi: 10.1007/s41055-019-00052-6
- Fanzo, J., Haddad, L., Schneider, K. R., Béné, C., Covic, N. M., Guarin, A., et al. (2021). Rigorous monitoring is necessary to guide food system transformation in the countdown to the 2030 global goals. *Food Policy* 104:102163. doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102163
- Fanzo, J., and Miachon, L. (2023). Harnessing the connectivity of climate change, food systems and diets: taking action to improve human and planetary health. *Anthropocene* 42:100381. doi: 10.1016/j.ancene.2023.100381
- Fanzo, J., Rudie, C., Sigman, I., Grinspoon, S., Benton, T. G., Brown, M. E., et al. (2022). Sustainable food systems and nutrition in the 21st century: a report from the 22nd annual Harvard Nutrition Obesity Symposium. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 115, 18–33. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/nqab315
- Feenstra, G. (2002). Creating space for sustainable food systems: lessons from the field. *Agric. Hum. Values* 19, 99–106. doi: 10.1023/A:1016095421310
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2025). *Agroecology and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)*. Rome: FAO Agroecology Knowledge Hub. Available online at: <https://www.fao.org/agroecology/overview/agroecology-and-the-sustainable-development-goals/en/> (Retrieved August 1, 2025).
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2023). *Hidden Costs of Global Agrifood Systems Worth at Least \$10 Trillion*. FAO. Available online at: [https://www.fao.org/newsroom/detail/hidden-costs-of-global-agrifood-systems-worth-at-least-\\$10-trillion/en](https://www.fao.org/newsroom/detail/hidden-costs-of-global-agrifood-systems-worth-at-least-$10-trillion/en) (Accessed August 1, 2025).
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), World Food Programme (WFP), and World Health Organization (WHO) (2023). *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023: Urbanization, Agrifood Systems Transformation and Healthy Diets Across the Rural-Urban Continuum*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Gallardo, R. K. (2024). *The Environmental Impacts of Agriculture: A Review*. Now Publishers. Available online at: <https://www.nowpublishers.com/article/Details/IRERE-166> (Accessed August 1, 2025).
- Gliessman, S. (2025). Agroecology and the UN sustainable development goals (SDGs). *Agroecol. Sust. Food Syst.* 49, 989–993. doi: 10.1080/21683565.2025.2506190
- Gliessman, S. R. (2000). "The ecological foundations of agroecosystem sustainability," in *Agroecosystem Sustainability: Developing Practical Strategies*, eds. C. A. Francis, M. A. Lieblein, J. Breland, S. E. Salomonsson, and H. R. W. Brussard (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; Lewis Publishers), 3–14.
- Gliessman, S. R., Méndez, V. E., Izzo, V. M., and Engles, E. W. (2022). *Agroecology: Leading the Transformation to a Just and Sustainable Food System, 4th Edn*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Gomes, S. M., Carvalho, A. M., Cantalice, A. S., Magalhaes, A. R., Tregidgo, D., de Oliveira, D. V. B., et al. (2024). Nexus among climate change, food systems, and human health: an interdisciplinary research framework in the Global South. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 161:103885. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2024.103885
- Harwood, R. R. (2020). "A history of sustainable agriculture," in *Sustainable Agricultural Systems* (CRC Press), 3–19.
- Hayes, T. B., Collins, A., Lee, M., Mendoza, M., Noriega, N., Stuart, A. A., et al. (2002). Hermaphroditic, demasculinized frogs after exposure to the herbicide atrazine at low ecologically relevant doses. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 5476–5480. doi: 10.1073/pnas.082121499
- Herrero, M., Thornton, P. K., Mason-D'Croz, D., Palmer, J., Bodirsky, B. L., Pradhan, P., et al. (2021). Articulating the effect of food systems innovation on the Sustainable Development Goals. *Lancet Planet. Health* 5, e50–e62. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30277-1
- Hertz-Picciotto, I., Sass, J. B., Engel, S., Bennett, D. H., Bradman, A., Eskenazi, B., et al. (2018). Organophosphate exposures during pregnancy and child neurodevelopment: recommendations for essential policy reforms. *PLoS Med.* 15:e1002671. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002671
- High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE) (2020). *Food Security and Nutrition: Building a Global Narrative Towards 2030 High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security*. Available online at: <https://www.fao.org/3/ca9731en/ca9731en.pdf> (Accessed August 1, 2025).
- Inter Academy Partnership (IAP), (2018). *Opportunities for Future Research and Innovation on Food and Nutrition Security and Agriculture: The InterAcademy Partnership's Global Perspective*. InterAcademy Partnership. Available online at: <https://www.interacademies.org/publication/opportunities-future-research-and-innovation-food-and-nutrition-security-and> (Accessed August 1, 2025).
- IPCC (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change*. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. Available online at: <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/> (Accessed August 5, 2025).
- Juri, S., Terry, N., and Pereira, L. M. (2024). Demystifying food systems transformation: a review of the state of the field. *Ecol. Soc.* 29. doi: 10.5751/ES-14525-290205
- Kremen, C., and Miles, A. (2012). Ecosystem services in biologically diversified versus conventional farming systems: benefits, externalities, and trade-offs. *Ecol. Soc.* 17:40. doi: 10.5751/ES-05035-170440
- Li, Y., He, P., Shan, Y., Li, Y., Hang, Y., Shao, S., et al. (2024). Reducing climate change impacts from the global food system through diet shifts. *Nat. Clim. Change* 14, 943–953. doi: 10.1038/s41558-024-02084-1
- Malli, A., Monteith, H., Hiscock, E. C., Smith, E. V., Fairman, K., Galloway, T., et al. (2023). Impacts of colonization on Indigenous food systems in Canada and the United States: a scoping review. *BMC Public Health* 23:2105. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16997-7
- Miles, A., DeLonge, M. S., and Carlisle, L. (2017). Triggering a positive research and policy feedback cycle to support a transition to agroecology and sustainable food systems. *Agroecol. Sust. Food Syst.* 41, 855–879. doi: 10.1080/21683565.2017.1331179
- Miles, A., and Hoy, C. (2023). Achieving food system resilience and equity in the era of global environmental change. *Front. Sust. Food Syst.* 6:1126013. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2022.1126013
- National Research Council (1989). *Alternative Agriculture*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. doi: 10.17226/1208
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), (2022). *Declaration on Transformative Solutions for Sustainable Agriculture and Food Systems*. OECD. Available online at: <https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/topics/policy-sub-issues/sustainable-productivity-growth-in-agriculture/declaration-on-transformative-solutions-for-sustainable-agriculture-and-food-systems%20.pdf>
- Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., and Seidel, R. (2005). Environmental, energetic, and economic comparisons of

organic and conventional farming systems. *Bioscience* 55, 573–582. doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0573:EEAEEO]2.0.CO;2

Porter, J. R., Xie, L., Challinor, A. J., Cochrane, K., Howden, S. M., Iqbal, M. M., et al. (2014). “Food security and food production systems,” in *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects*. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds. C. B. Field, V. R. Barros, D. J. Dokken, K. J. Mach, M. D. Mastrandrea, T. E. Bilir, and L. L. White (Cambridge; New York, NY: Cambridge University Press), 485–533.

Reganold, J. P., and Wachter, J. M. (2016). Organic agriculture in the twenty-first century. *Nat. Plants* 2, 1–8. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2015.221

Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., et al. (2009). A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature* 461, 472–475. doi: 10.1038/461472a

Schneider, K. R., Fanzo, J., Haddad, L., Herrero, M., Moncayo, J. R., Herforth, A., et al. (2023). The state of food systems worldwide in the countdown to 2030. *Nat. Food* 4, 1090–1110. doi: 10.1038/s43016-023-00885-9

Shostak, S. (2023). Food and inequality. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 49, 359–378. doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-031021-112747

Singleton, C. R., Wright, L. A., McDonald, M., Archer, I. G., Bell, C. N., McLoughlin, G. M., et al. (2023). Structural racism and geographic access to food retailers in the United States: a scoping review. *Health Place* 83:103089. doi: 10.1016/j.healthplace.2023.103089

Springmann, M., Clark, M., Mason-D'Croz, D., Wiebe, K., Bodirsky, B. L., Lassaletta, L., et al. (2018). Options for keeping the food system within environmental limits. *Nature* 562, 519–525. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0594-0

Stuart, D., and Gunderson, R. (2020). Nonhuman animals as fictitious commodities: exploitation and consequences in industrial agriculture. *Soc. Anim.* 28, 291–310. doi: 10.1163/15685306-12341507

Swift, M. J., and Anderson, J. M. (1993). Biodiversity and ecosystem function in agricultural systems. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 2, 303–321.

United Nations (UN). (2015). Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations. Available online at: <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda> (Accessed August 5, 2025).

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2023). *Food Systems Transformation: A Whole-of-Government Approach to Drive Change*. Available online at: <https://www.undp.org/foodsystems/blog/food-systems-transformation-whole-government-approach-drive-change> (Accessed August 6, 2025).

Valentini, R., Sievenpiper, J. L., Antonelli, M., and Dembska, K. (Eds.). (2019). *Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals Through Sustainable Food Systems*. Cham: Springer, 1–262. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-23969-5

Webb, P., Benton, T. G., Beddington, J., Flynn, D., Kelly, N. M., and Thomas, S. M. (2020). The urgency of food system transformation is now irrefutable. *Nat. Food* 1, 584–585. doi: 10.1038/s43016-020-00161-0

Willett, W., Rockström, J., Loken, B., Springmann, M., Lang, T., Vermeulen, S., et al. (2019). Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. *Lancet* 393, 447–492. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31788-4

Zurek, M., Ingram, J., Sanderson Bellamy, A., Goold, C., Lyon, C., Alexander, P., et al. (2022). Food system resilience: concepts, issues, and challenges. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 47, 511–534. doi: 10.1146/annurev-environ-112320-050744