

# **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY
Matilda Aberese-Ako,
University of Health and Allied
Sciences, Ghana

REVIEWED BY Jonathan Filippon, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE
Gil Correia

☑ grcl@fmed.uc.pt

RECEIVED 29 March 2025 ACCEPTED 10 October 2025 PUBLISHED 23 October 2025

# CITATION

Correia G (2025) Commentary: The Portuguese NHS 2024 reform: transformation through vertical integration. Front. Public Health 13:1602560. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1602560

# COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Correia. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Commentary: The Portuguese NHS 2024 reform: transformation through vertical integration

# Gil Correia<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Family Health Unit CelaSaúde, Unidade Local de Saúde de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>2</sup>FMUC-Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>3</sup>CiBB - Centre for Innovative Biomedicine and Biotechnology, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, <sup>4</sup>CNC-UC - Centre for Neurosciences and Cell Biology, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

### KEYWORDS

vertical integration, capitation, primary health care, university health units, local health units

# A Commentary on

The Portuguese NHS 2024 reform: transformation through vertical integration

by Goiana-da-Silva, F., Sá, J., Cabral, M., Guedes, R., Vasconcelos, R., Sarmento, J., Morais Nunes, A., Moreira, R., Miraldo, M., Ashrafian, H., Darzi, A., and Araújo, F. (2024). *Front. Public Health*. 12:1389057. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1389057

# Introduction

The article by Goiana-da-Silva et al. (1) provides a comprehensive overview of the transformation occurred within the Portuguese National Health Service (NHS). The 2023–2024 reform led by the NHS Executive Board removed the previous fragmentation between around a hundred healthcare institutions, and aimed to "flatten the hierarchical structure." Primary health care (PHC) and hospitals of a given geographic region were consolidated in 39 Local Health Units (LHU), through vertical integration (1). The authors highlight the complexity and challenges of the reform with repeated emphasis on the development and of the importance of PHC. The authors highlight the advantages of the vertical integration system and capitation by increasing the proximity between point of care to the first level of executive board. However, despite the intention to improve efficiency, quality and access outcomes, the reform encountered multiple objections to its full implementation (2, 3). Doubts still subsist regarding the financing method of the asymmetric 39 newly created LHU, particularly the University LHU, with greater responsibilities on education and research (2–4).

# Vertical integration of the Portuguese NHS

In vertical integration, a single institution is responsible for the continuum of services: from primary care, to hospitals, to nursing homes (5). Integration of care is the most desired outcome by providing patient-centered care. PHC importance was demonstrated in previous attempts to use a population based contract which only included secondary care

Correia 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1602560

and was not financially viable until including PHC (1, 6, 7). However, criticism came from the PHC with fears that primary care autonomy could be compromised, and "engulfed" by bigger hospital structures. The Portuguese PHC is a mainly public service that has undergone a successful, but unfinished, reform in 2005 which incorporated a P4P scheme. PHC is based in small multiprofessional units with technical and administrative autonomy differently from hospital organization (3, 8).

Notwithstanding the differences in organization, responsibilities and services provided, there are undeniable potential gains with the abolition of intermediary management and proximity to the executive board. It is crucial, however, to warranty a strong PHC representativeness on the board.

# Capitation

A sustainable and adequate healthcare financing model is fundamental to ensuring universal health coverage, while achieving accessibility and efficiency in improving health outcomes (6). Numerous financing models have been employed in healthcare, each has unique advantages and challenges. Capitation aligns with vertical integration as it promotes efficiency, preventive and integrated clinical care pathways (9). It may integrate other payment schemes, such as a P4P, like in the Portuguese PHC (3, 7, 10).

However, it also poses considerable risks, but the authors primarily discussed the risk of price escalation. Although, promoting cost-effective practices, it might also drive healthcare providers to prioritize financial interests over patient care, and potentially create a pervious incentive to reduce and deter services and care; introduce or reinforce rationing and delaying of care; or risk selection of the citizens with greater expected "profit" (6, 10–12). These risks are of particular concern as the reform encompasses all the public health services in mainland Portugal.

# Risk mitigation and strategic financing

The prior organization of the Portuguese NHS, separating primary care and hospital-based services, led to undeniable health gains. However, in 2023, access challenges and patient dissatisfaction had become evident (13). In PHC, difficulties in retaining doctors, insufficient coverage of family doctors, and unmet patient needs persist. However, where implemented, the P4P scheme proved to be efficient and effective (3, 14–17).

The current reform must therefore address rising demand, constrained budgets, and workforce shortages, while implementing a novel system.

To tackle the risks, "minimum of production" has been defined for the LHU. Other strategy employed is risk-stratification to cover for the heterogeneity of the estimated individual costs (7, 18). In a free-circulating system, based in PHC gatekeeping, it is crucial to consider the patient flow in/out as counterbalance the specialization of the different health units of individual LHU. On the other hand, payment of external provision of services

are a further incentive to priorize prevention and development of services (18). Other supplementary financing components are important as a mean to compensate other services (18, 19).

# Asymmetric institutions—University LHU

There are relevant asymmetries between the LHU in terms of dimension, services provided, and other specificities as reference centers or University Hospitals (19). Potential integration difficulties and the risk of sub financing led to the constitution of a working group to evaluate University LHUs, by the Ministry of Health (20).

However, the "independent committee" proposes a further complex structure: the "University clinical Centers" that should adopt "a shared governance model that actively involves and commits to their clinical, academic, research, and innovation dimensions." This approach aims to ensure coordinated, synergistic, and strategic decision-making to fulfill their vital social and economic mission within the country's healthcare system.

The committee proposes a non-executive Board of Directors, led by a respected academic figure, an Executive Committee, appointed by the government for operational management, and an Advisory Council to ensure proper coordination with various stakeholders. Furthermore, a compensation fund should be established, dedicated to financing specific teaching, research, and innovation activities, ensuring that resources for these academic endeavors are clearly separated (19). The report is yet to be politically evaluated and implemented as it contradicts the objective of a light and flexible LHU structures, under the umbrella of strong national executive board.

# Discussion

The 2024 Portuguese NHS reform represents a significant opportunity to enhance healthcare efficiency and effectiveness. However, it is imperative to recognize and address the associated risks and to ensure the promotion of quality and accessibility. The clinical pathways of the patients need to be clearly defined and the focus must be on Person-centered care and Valuebased Healthcare. Continuous monitoring and adjustments, by considering patient outcomes and healthcare costs, is vital to mitigate the risk of service reduction and the deferral of care. Capitation incentivizes the development of community care and strategies to promote a more healthy population. In this setting, PHC is of crucial importance due to the comprehensiveness of preventive services and efficiency of care provided. Its capacity and attributions needs to be amplified: enhance the support for the PHC functional units; provision of other services (e.g., psychology or nutrition); expand other functional units (e.g., community continuing care units) (17).

Considering the particular situation of the university LHU, It is crucial to create real University PHC units with greater responsibilities in the pre and post graduate education and further support for research in Primary Care, in accordance with its great potential for translational improvements of care.

# **Author contributions**

GC: Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

# **Funding**

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Goiana-da-Silva F, Sá J, Cabral M, Guedes R, Vasconcelos R, Sarmento J, et al. The Portuguese NHS 2024 reform: transformation through vertical integration. *Front Public Health.* (2024) 12:1389057. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1389057
- da Luz Pereira A, Chaves Beça D, Pedro Antunes J, Azevedo M, Macedo R, da Costa I, et al. AVALIA-ULS: Análise das Vantagens e Limitações das Unidades Locais de Saúde – perspetivas da Medicina Geral e Familiar – Parte I – SPGSAUDE. Rev Portuguesa Gestão Saúde. (2024) 37:18–22.
- 3. Beça DC, Pereira AL, Azevedo M, Antunes JP. Evolução dos cuidados de saúde primários em Portugal: lições aprendidas e perspetivas futuras. *Rev Portuguesa Med Geral Familiar.* (2024) 40:524–30. doi: 10.32385/rpmgf.v40i5.14064
- 4. Biscaia AR, Heleno LCV. A Reforma dos Cuidados de Saúde Primários em Portugal: portuguesa, moderna e inovadora. *Cien Saude Colet.* (2017) 22:701–12. doi: 10.1590/1413-81232017223.33152016
- 5. Santana R, Lopes H, Carlos JS, Rodrigues M, Mestre R, Matias T, et al. Relatório do Grupo de Trabalho criado para a definição de proposta de metodologia de integração dos níveis de cuidados de saúde para Portugal Continental. Lisboa: Ministério da Saúde (2014).
- 6. Kutzin J. Health financing for universal coverage and health system performance: concepts and implications for policy. *Bull World Health Organ.* (2013) 91:602–11. doi: 10.2471/BLT.12.113985
- 7. Srivastava D, Mueller M, Hewlett E. OECD Health Policy Studies Better Ways to Pay for Health Care. Paris: OECD Publishing (2016). doi: 10.1787/9789264258211-en
- 8. Correia G, Jacinto N, Reis A, Pereira A, Broeiro-Gonçalves P, Simões S, et al. A new future for family medicine/general practice in Portugal. *Rev Portuguesa Clín Geral.* (2024) 40:408–17. doi: 10.32385/rpmgf.v40i4.14011
- 9. World Health Organization. *The World Health Report: Health Systems Financing: the Path to Universal Coverage.* (2010). Available online at: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/44371 (Accessed December 27, 2024).
- 10. Goodson JD, Bierman AS, Fein O, Rask K, Rich EC, Selker HP. The future of capitation: the physician role in managing change in practice. *J Gen Intern Med.* (2001) 16:250. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016004250.x

# Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- 11. Barros PP. Cream-skimming, incentives for efficiency and payment system. *J Health Econ.* (2003) 22:419–43. doi: 10.1016/S0167-6296(02)00119-4
- 12. Rice N, Smith P. Approaches to Capitation and Risk Adjustment in Health Care: An International Survey. New York, NY: Centre for Health Economics, University of York (1999). Available online at: https://www.york.ac.uk/che/pdf/op38.pdf
- 13. Nunes A. Vertical integration in healthcare and patient satisfaction: an exploratory analysis of Portuguese reforms. *Sustainability.* (2024) 16:1078. doi: 10.3390/su16031078
- 14. Conde MG, Gaspar IG. England can learn from Portugal's pay for performance model in primary care. *BMJ*. (2025) 390:r1581. doi: 10.1136/bmj.r1581
- 15. da Luz Pereira A, Ramalho A, Viana J, Pinto Hespanhol A, Freitas A, Biscaia A. The effect of commissioning on Portuguese Primary Health Care units' performance: a four-year national analysis. *Health Policy*. (2021) 125:709–16. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2021.02.008
- 16. Perelman J, Perelman J, Lourenço A. Effectiveness of pay-for-performance in primary care: the Portuguese experience: Julian Perelman. *Eur J Public Health*. (2015) 25(suppl\_3):ckv170.102. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckv170.102
- 17. Pisco LA, Ramos VB. Twenty years of the Primary Care Reform in Portugal: lessons learned and new challenges. *Cien Saude Colet.* (2025) 30:e21532024. doi: 10.1590/1413-81232025307.21532024
- 18. Direção Executiva Serviço Nacional de Saúde. Termos de Referência para contratualização de Cuidados de Saúde no SNS para 2024. Serviço Nacional de Saúde Portugal. (2024). Available online at: https://www.sns.min-saude.pt/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/Termos-Referencia-2024\_Homologado.pdf (Accessed March 19, 2025)
- 19. Relatório da Comissão técnica Independente para o estudo das ULS Universitárias SNS. Available online at: https://www.sns.gov.pt/relatorio-da-comissao-tecnica-independente-para-o-estudo-das-uls-universitarias/ (Accessed March 20, 2025).
- 20. Despacho n.º 10677/2024 | DR. Available online at: https://diariodarepublica.pt/dr/detalhe/despacho/10677-2024-887428457 (Accessed December 24, 2024).