

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Eddy J. Davelaar, Birkbeck, University of London, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE
Erik M. Benau

■ benaue@oldwestbury.edu

†PRESENT ADDRESS Erik M. Benau, Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program, Long Island University, Brookville, NY, United States

RECEIVED 23 April 2025 ACCEPTED 24 October 2025 PUBLISHED 06 November 2025

CITATION

Benau EM (2025) Editorial: Teaching and training in psychophysiology and neuroscience. *Front. Psychol.* 16:1616546. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1616546

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Benau. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Teaching and training in psychophysiology and neuroscience

Erik M. Benau (1) *†

Department of Psychology, SUNY Old Westbury, Old Westbury, NY, United States

KEYWORDS

mentorship, early career academics, inclusive pedagogy, neuroscience training, STEM outreach

Editorial on the Research Topic

Teaching and training in psychophysiology and neuroscience

This Research Topic was inspired by the growing recognition that neuroscience education and engagement are extending well beyond the confines of traditional lecture halls and laboratories (Ramirez, 2020; Ramos and Rivera-Rodriguez, 2022). In the years leading to the proposal of this Research Topic, researchers and educators took bold steps to integrate neuroscience into K-12 outreach (Chang et al., 2021; Deal et al., 2014), adaptive technologies (Jangwan et al., 2022), sports training (Seidel-Marzi and Ragert, 2020), and inclusive learning environments (Dotson and Duarte, 2020; Harrington, 2022; Immordino-Yang and Damasio, 2007), among other areas (Eagleman, 2013; Heagerty, 2015). The aim of this Research Topic was to capture that momentum by assembling and disseminating work that promotes new approaches to training, mentorship, and pedagogy in neuroscience and psychophysiology. More specifically, when this Research Topic was proposed in 2022, neuroscience education—and education more broadly—was at an inflection point. The academic landscape was still reeling from the disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic, and researchers across disciplines were adapting to new modes of public engagement, digital fatigue, and institutional constraints. Simultaneously, growing movements for equity, accessibility, and inclusion—within neuroscience and beyond were challenging traditional models of outreach and participation (Dotson and Duarte, 2020; Harrington, 2022; La Scala et al., 2023).

Although the acute crises of that period have subsided in some ways, the residual effects of that time continue to shape how we teach, learn, and connect (Imran et al., 2023). Efforts to expand access also face increasing resistance, with some recent gains being rolled back and others encountering outright hostility. In recent months, in early 2025, the United States faced unprecedented cuts to science and education funding that disproportionately targeted diversity- and inclusion-related initiatives, including training programs. These reductions have already led—or may soon lead—to the displacement of numerous early-career scientists and trainees, many of whom work in under-resourced or equity-focused settings. These are the very individuals this Research Topic was intended to support; their uncertain futures speak to the urgency of continued investment in inclusive neuroscience training. The impact of these cuts will likely be felt for years and may slow progress in building a more inclusive and accessible neuroscience community. Nevertheless, the need

Benau 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1616546

remains urgent to develop and share innovations in neuroscience education—approaches that support critical thinking, inclusive practice, and public engagement. In this context, the urgency of developing neuroscience education that is both innovative and responsive is ever more apparent. Efforts to support critical thinking, inclusive practice, and public engagement remain essential not only for training future scientists, but also for sustaining a field that continues to face social and political pressures.

This Research Topic's call for studies welcomed contributions on formal instruction, along with informal and often underdiscussed aspects of training. These include mentorship, interdisciplinary collaboration, and building sustainable research environments. The final Research Topic is more focused than originally envisioned, though this scope offers a certain clarity. Rather than cataloging the full range of emerging practices, the included articles offer insights into four key areas: early education and pipeline development, applied performance monitoring, cognitive accessibility, and the role of motivation in learning. These four contributions highlight the breadth of contexts in which neuroscience and psychophysiology are taught, applied, and communicated. They also point toward future directions for how neuroscience and psychophysiology may be taught and used. Below, each article is outlined with its key contributions.

Early education and pipeline development

Minen et al. presented a critical systematic review of K—12 neuroscience and neurology outreach programs. Drawing on 23 studies, the authors highlight the range of educational strategies used to introduce students—particularly those from historically underrepresented backgrounds—to neuroscience concepts and careers. The review identified the strengths of interactive programming and partnership-based delivery models, while also noting a lack of long-term outcome data and equity-focused assessments. The synthesized findings illustrate the importance of early exposure and structured outreach in cultivating a diverse future neuroscience workforce.

Applied performance monitoring

Yang et al. examined the usefulness of session rating of perceived exertion (sRPE) as a proxy for physiological training load (TRIMP) in elite cross-country skiers. The authors found that sRPE correlates well with TRIMP—particularly during low-intensity training—and may serve as a viable substitute when heart rate data are unavailable. This work demonstrates how cognitive-affective metrics can be used in high-performance training and highlights the growing role of applied neuroscience in settings not typically considered educational.

Cognitive accessibility and assistive tech

Chan et al. presented a pilot study that assessed whether performance on the Eriksen Flanker Task could help identify students with disabilities who could benefit from visual accommodative technologies. Using a triple-blind design with students both with and without disabilities, the study demonstrated its sensitivity to attentional differences across groups. The authors suggest that these tools could support the more accurate identification of students in need of visual accommodations. This approach may contribute to improving accessibility in higher education through the use of psychophysiological methods.

Motivation, emotion, and learning

Wang et al. explored how emotional motivation—both its intensity and direction—interacts with task load to shape cognitive flexibility. Across three experiments using arithmetic estimation tasks, the authors found that affective states influenced strategy use differently depending on cognitive demands: low-intensity motivation supported flexibility under high task load, whereas avoidance-based motivation appeared more helpful in low-demand conditions. These findings highlight the role of affect in learning and suggest possible applications for tailoring instruction to emotional contexts.

Summary

Together, these contributions highlight the value of purposeful mentorship, creative pedagogy, and transdisciplinary innovation in shaping the next generation of scholars, educators, and practitioners. From early outreach and performance monitoring to individualized learning support and emotion-informed instruction, each paper offers a unique entry point into neuroscience training and engagement. Collectively, they provide practical illustrations of how should—evolve. neuroscience education can—and educational demands shift and the future grows increasingly uncertain, the call for a more inclusive, accessible, and socially relevant approach to training neuroscientists has never been more urgent.

Author contributions

EB: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Benau 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1616546

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Chang, Z., Schwartz, M. S., Hinesley, V., and Dubinsky, J. M. (2021). Neuroscience concepts changed teachers' views of pedagogy and students. *Front. Psychol.* 12:685856. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.685856

Deal, A. L., Erickson, K. J., Bilsky, E. J., and Hillman, S. J. (2014). K-12 neuroscience education outreach program: interactive activities for educating students about neuroscience. *J. Undergr. Neurosci. Educ.* 13, A8–A20.

Dotson, V. M., and Duarte, A. (2020). The importance of diversity in cognitive neuroscience. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1464, 181–191. doi: 10.1111/nyas. 14268

Eagleman, D. M. (2013). Why public dissemination of science matters: a manifesto. *J. Neurosci.* 33, 12147–12149. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2556-13. 2013

Harrington, M. A. (2022). Diversity in neuroscience education: a perspective from a Historically Black institution. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 100, 1538–1544. doi: 10.1002/jnr.24911

Heagerty, B. (2015). Dissemination does not equal public engagement. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 4483–4486. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4408-14.2015

Immordino-Yang, M. H., and Damasio, A. (2007). We feel, therefore we learn: the relevance of affective and social neuroscience to education. *Mind, Brain, Educ.* 1, 3–10. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-228X.2007.00004.x

Imran, R., Fatima, A., Elbayoumi Salem, I., and Allil, K. (2023). Teaching and learning delivery modes in higher education: looking back to move forward post-COVID-19 era. *Int. J. Manag. Educ.* 21:100805. doi: 10.1016/j.ijme.2023.100805

Jangwan, N. S., Ashraf, G. M., Ram, V., Singh, V., Alghamdi, B. S., Abuzenadah, A. M., et al. (2022). Brain augmentation and neuroscience technologies: current applications, challenges, ethics and future prospects. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 16:1000495. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2022.1000495

La Scala, S., Mullins, J. L., Firat, R. B., Emotional Learning Research Community Advisory Board and Michalska, K. J. (2023). Equity, diversity, and inclusion in developmental neuroscience: practical lessons from community-based participatory research. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 16:1007249. doi: 10.3389/fnint.2022.1007249

Ramirez, J. J. (2020). Undergraduate neuroscience education: meeting the challenges of the 21st century. *Neurosci. Lett.* 739:135418. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2020.135418

Ramos, R., and Rivera-Rodriguez, E. J. (2022). A course design for remote teaching advanced topics in neuroscience. *J. Undergr. Neurosci. Educ.* 21:A52. doi:10.59390/GSXQ3224

Seidel-Marzi, O., and Ragert, P. (2020). Neurodiagnostics in sports: investigating the athlete's brain to augment performance and sport-specific skills. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 14:133. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2020.00133