

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Colin Guy Scanes,
University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee,
United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Rachel R. Reid, ☑ rachelrosereid141@outlook.com

RECEIVED 10 June 2025 ACCEPTED 19 August 2025 PUBLISHED 29 August 2025

CITATION

Reid RR, Dawson N, Duncan E, Gillespie R, Mitchell C, Branston CJ, Capilla-Lasheras P, Boonekamp J and Dominoni DM (2025) Correction: Partial night lighting may reduce the physiological impact of artificial light at night on captive zebra finches. *Front. Physiol.* 16:1644617. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2025.1644617

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Reid, Dawson, Duncan, Gillespie, Mitchell, Branston, Capilla-Lasheras, Boonekamp and Dominoni. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Correction: Partial night lighting may reduce the physiological impact of artificial light at night on captive zebra finches

Rachel R. Reid^{1*}, Neal Dawson¹, Eleanor Duncan¹, Robert Gillespie¹, Christopher Mitchell², Claire J. Branston^{1,3}, Pablo Capilla-Lasheras^{1,4,5}, Jelle Boonekamp¹ and Davide M. Dominoni¹

¹School of Biodiversity, One Health and Veterinary Medicine, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom, ²Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter, Cornwall, United Kingdom, ³School of Health and Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Glasgow, United Kingdom, ⁴Swiss Ornithological Institute (Vogelwarte), Sempach, Switzerland, ⁵Doñana Biological Station, Spanish National Research Council (EBD-CSIC), Sevilla, Spain

KEYWORDS

avian health, avian physiology, artificial light, light pollution, urban ecology

A Correction on

Partial night lighting may reduce the physiological impact of artificial light at night on captive zebra finches

by Reid RR, Dawson N, Duncan E, Gillespie R, Mitchell C, Branston CJ, Capilla-Lasheras P, Boonekamp J and Dominoni DM (2025). Front. Physiol. 16:1592407. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2025.1592407

In the published article, there was an error. [Inter-plate and intra-plate CV were labelled incorrectly for relative telomere length].

A correction has been made to [Methods], [2.5 Measuring relative telomere length], [Paragraph 4]. This sentence previously stated:

"[Mean inter- and intra-plate of Ct values were 2.14% and 2.97% for telomere reactions and 1.02% and 1.10% for the RAG-1 reactions.]"

The corrected sentence appears below:

"[Mean intra- and inter-plate of Ct values were 2.14% and 2.97% for telomere reactions and 1.02% and 1.10% for the RAG-1 reactions.]"

In the published article, there was an error. [Inter-plate and intra-plate CV were labelled incorrectly for antioxidant capacity of plasma].

A correction has been made to [Methods], [2.7 Measuring antioxidant capacity of plasma], [Paragraph 2]. This sentence previously stated:

"[The between plate repeatability was R 2 = 0.57 (N = 20). The inter-plate CV calculated using these same 20 samples was 9.35% and the intra-plate CV was 10.97%.]"

The corrected sentence appears below:

"[The between plate repeatability was R 2 = 0.57 (N = 20). The intra-plate CV calculated using these same 20 samples was 9.35% and the inter-plate CV was 10.97%.]"

The original article has been updated.

Reid et al. 10.3389/fphys.2025.1644617

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.