



### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Sean B. Cash, Tufts University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE
Carla Gonçalves

☑ carlagoncalves@utad.pt

RECEIVED 14 October 2025 ACCEPTED 20 October 2025 PUBLISHED 31 October 2025

#### CITATION

Gonçalves C, Risvas G and Temple N (2025) Editorial: Food-based dietary guidelines. Front. Nutr. 12:1724966. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2025.1724966

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Gonçalves, Risvas and Temple. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Food-based dietary guidelines

Carla Gonçalves<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>, Grigoris Risvas<sup>5</sup> and Norman Temple<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Biologia e Ambiente, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal, <sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigacao e de Tecnologias Agro-Ambientais e Biologicas (CITAB), Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal, <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Saude Publica (ISPUP), Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal, <sup>4</sup>Centro de Investigacao em Tecnologias e Servicos de Saude (CINTESIS), Porto, Portugal, <sup>5</sup>Aegean College, Athens, Greece, <sup>6</sup>Athabasca University, Athabasca, AB,

**KEYWORDS** 

food based dietary guidelines, food guide, food literacy, healthier diet, public health

## Editorial on the Research Topic

Food-based dietary guidelines

Food-based dietary guidelines (FBDGs) are among the most widely used public health tools for translating nutrition science into practical advice for populations. By offering culturally adapted and evidence-based recommendations on food choices, portion sizes, and dietary patterns, FBDGs aim to promote health, prevent disease, and increasingly, address the sustainability of food systems. However, despite their global spread (more than 100 countries now have national FBDGs), challenges remain in ensuring their accessibility, cultural adaptability, methodological rigor, and integration into coherent food and nutrition policies.

This Research Topic, *Food-based dietary guidelines*, brings together diverse contributions that address key aspects of the design, application, and evaluation of dietary guidelines across different populations and settings. Together, the articles collected here highlight both persistent gaps and innovative approaches in the development and implementation of FBDGs, offering lessons that are highly relevant for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners.

The affordability of healthy eating is a cornerstone for the successful implementation of dietary recommendations, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. In their study, Gonzabay-Parrales et al. illustrated the stark economic barriers faced by families in Quito and Guayaquil, in Ecuador. The authors demonstrated that following a healthy diet requires almost half of the basic monthly salary, making it inaccessible to many households. This work underscores the urgent need for policies that improve access to healthy foods and incentivize local trade between producers and consumers. Without addressing economic constraints, FBDGs risk remaining aspirational rather than actionable.

Several contributions have highlighted how actual dietary behaviors align—or fail to align—with recommended guidelines. In Sweden, the study by Mulkerrins et al. assessed food intake among young adults with different dietary practices. Despite differences in consumption patterns—such as higher intakes of legumes and plant-based substitutes among vegans and vegetarians—the overall adherence to FBDGs was low, particularly for fruits, vegetables, nuts, and whole grains. Similarly, the study by Rohm et al., based on the third Bavarian Food Consumption Survey, revealed that a large proportion of adults in Bavaria, Germany, do not meet FBDG recommendations, echoing findings from two decades earlier. Although some improvements were observed, such as reduced meat and soft drink consumption, deficiencies in fruit, vegetable, and whole grain intake persist,

Goncalves et al. 10.3389/fnut.2025.1724966

with potential risks of nutrient insufficiency. Together, these studies remind us that dietary guidelines are only as effective as the population's capacity and willingness to follow them. Monitoring dietary behaviors over time remains essential for evaluating the impact of guidelines and identifying priority areas for intervention.

Another group of articles focused on tools to assess diet quality and their alignment with FBDGs. In Canada, Panahimoghadam et al. compared the Healthy Eating Index-Canada, the Diet Quality Index-International, and the Healthy Eating Food Index 2019. The authors showed that these indices vary in their discriminatory power and agreement, leading to different interpretations of children's diets. Their call for consensus highlights the importance of methodological alignment to ensure coherent dietary monitoring and policy guidance. In this sense, in the United States, Katz et al. introduced an adaptation of the Healthy Eating Index to better reflect multicultural dietary patterns. This innovation allows recognition of nutritional quality across diverse diets, moving toward more inclusive and equitable assessment tools.

Portion size guidance is a core yet often underexplored element of FBDGs. Two studies in this Research Topic addressed this issue directly. The article from Salesse et al. examined the methodological approaches to deriving portion sizes across 96 countries, finding limited variation by method but some regional differences, particularly for fish and shellfish. In a second, complementary study, the same authors revealed substantial inconsistencies across regions, especially in definitions and classifications of food groups. Both studies highlight the potential of harmonizing portion size recommendations to improve clarity and comparability. Supporting these efforts, Fallata et al. provided a structured approach for creating reliable food atlases. These visual tools, which include culturally relevant portion sizes and utensils, can play an important role in dietary assessment and in communicating guidelines to diverse populations once validated through further study.

Finally, two articles extended the discussion to the policy arena. The article from De Matteu Monteiro et al. highlighted the potential of risk-benefit assessment as a structured, evidence-based approach to guiding food and nutrition policy. Despite methodological advances, the translation of such assessments into concrete policies remains limited, calling for stronger integration between science and regulation. In Southeast Asia, Thanh Nguyen et al. evaluated national strategies against international standards. While progress has been made, important gaps remain, particularly in the inclusion of interventions for women and adolescents. This work emphasizes the importance of aligning national policies with global evidence while maintaining sensitivity to local contexts to ensure progress on reducing noncommunicable diseases.

The contributions to this Research Topic underscore the complexity of designing, implementing, and evaluating FBDGs in a rapidly changing food environment. They demonstrate that, while methodological progress is being made—through harmonized portion size recommendations, improved diet quality indices, and adaptive tools—significant challenges remain in terms of affordability, cultural inclusivity, and policy alignment.

While the articles included in this Research Topic provide valuable insights into diet affordability, adherence, methodological frameworks, and policy alignment, other important aspects raised in the original call remain underexplored. Future research should address how best to communicate dietary recommendations through effective visual designs, the role and placement of ultra-processed foods within FBDGs, and additionally, the integration of traditional dietary patterns, social food behaviors, and culturally embedded practices. This integration is key to ensuring the relevance and uptake of guidelines across diverse populations. Finally, the incorporation of environmentally sustainable advice into FBDGs-balancing health, culture, and planetary boundaries-should be prioritized to align dietary recommendations with the urgent goal of transforming the food system. By advancing these areas, future research, with greater interdisciplinary collaboration, harmonization of methods across regions, and stronger integration with policies, can help ensure that FBDGs remain dynamic, inclusive, and impactful tools for improving public health, equity, and environmental sustainability.

# **Author contributions**

CG: Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. GR: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. NT: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

# Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.