

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Debarati Paul, Amity University, India

REVIEWED BY
Sergii Krysenko,
Valent BioSciences LLC, United States
Ramesh Chatragadda,
Council of Scientific and Industrial Research
(CSIR), India

*CORRESPONDENCE
M. Kalim Akhtar

☑ mk.akhtar@uaeu.ac.ae

RECEIVED 26 August 2025 ACCEPTED 03 November 2025 PUBLISHED 04 December 2025

CITATION

Akhtar MK (2025) From miscommunication to misinformation: *Streptomyces* species erroneously reported as producers of prodigiosin. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1693024. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1693024

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Akhtar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

From miscommunication to misinformation: *Streptomyces* species erroneously reported as producers of prodigiosin

M. Kalim Akhtar*

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

KEYWORDS

red pigment, bacteriology, secondary metabolite, prodiginine, illusory truth effect

Prodigiosin is a red-colored pigment produced in bacteria (Lu et al., 2024). This compound has attracted considerable interest due to its anticancer, antibiotic, antimalarial and even immunosuppressive activities (Darshan and Manonmani, 2015). On account of its promising biotechnological potential, the compound has been the focus of several reviews and experimental articles. The authors of these articles typically begin their discussion of prodigiosin by mentioning the names of a few bacterial species that are prominent producers of prodigiosin. In recent years, one name that has consistently cropped up as a prodigiosin-producer is Streptomyces coelicolor. This claim appeared in a highly cited 2021 review by Islan et al. (2022), repeated in a 2024 review by Lu et al. (2024) and, as recently as 2 months ago, reiterated by ul Huda et al. (2025), in what could be considered an authoritative review on prodigiosin. What all these reviews have in common is that their statement regarding Streptomyces coelicolor as a prodigiosinproducer is incorrect. This species is in fact a well-known producer of undecylprodigiosin (Tsao et al., 1985). Structurally, undecylprodigiosin differs from prodigiosin by the length of its alkyl side chain in which an undecyl group replaces the shorter pentyl chain found in prodigiosin.

So how did the false notion arise that *Streptomyces coelicolor* is a prodigiosin-producer? Well, it originated from the misleading title of a study carried out by Liu et al. (2017). The title of this paper is "*Metabolic engineering of Streptomyces coelicolor for enhanced prodigiosins (RED) production.*" In the title, the word "prodigiosin" is used interchangeably with the word "prodiginine" resulting in misinformation. The terms prodigiosin and prodiginine are not interchangeable, as they hold different meanings. Prodigiosin is the name of a chemically distinct molecule while prodiginine is the name given to the family of tripyrrole compounds, of which prodigiosin is a member. Hence, a more accurate title would have been, "Metabolic engineering of *Streptomyces coelicolor* for enhanced prodiginine (RED) production."

Streptomyces coelicolor is not the only species to fall foul of this misattribution; Streptomyces griseoviridis offers us yet another example. As before, the error arises from the inaccurate title of an experimental study carried out by Kawasaki et al. (2008), "A prodigiosin from the roseophilin producer Streptomyces griseoviridis." A thorough assessment of this work in addition to the follow-up study would have revealed that Streptomyces griseoviridans is a producer of prodigiosin R1 and prodigiosin R2 (Kawasaki et al., 2008; Kimata et al., 2018). These analogs are cyclic derivatives of prodigiosin in which the alkyl side chain is linked to the terminal pyrrole moiety. This structural arrangement differs markedly from the linear structure of the prodigiosin molecule.

Akhtar 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1693024

However, inadvertent inaccuracies may also arise from misinterpretation of analytical data. The study by Ramesh et al. (2020) had initially revealed that *Streptomyces prasanthi*, previously known as *Streptomyces* sp. BSE6.1, was a prodigiosin-producer. However, subsequent genomic analysis identified it as a producer of undecylprodigiosin (Ramesh et al., 2021). Thus, performing wholegenome sequencing alongside comprehensive chemical profiling would reduce the likelihood of inaccurate conclusions.

In the world of psychology, such misattributions would be considered a classic case of the illusory truth effect, where repeated exposure of false information or misinformation eventually leads to its acceptance as fact (Fazio et al., 2015). Misinformation, if left unchallenged, can have serious consequences on shaping scientific ideas and even hinder scientific progress. An important lesson to be drawn here is that claims and statements, even seemingly simple one, need to be carefully evaluated before accepting them as fact. Doing so will help to ensure that scientific information is communicated with the highest rigor and accuracy.

Author contributions

MKA: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Resources, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author declares that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the UAEU Program for Advanced Research Funds (12S168)

awarded to MKA. The United Arab Emirates University (UAEU), as the source of the funding, plays a key role in advancing and supporting academic research within the UAE.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author declares that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Darshan, N., and Manonmani, H. K. (2015). Prodigiosin and its potential applications. J. Food Sci. Technol. 52, 5393–5407. doi: 10.1007/s13197-015-1740-4

Fazio, L. K., Brashier, N. M., Payne, B. K., and Marsh, E. J. (2015). Knowledge does not protect against illusory truth. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* 144, 993–1002. doi: 10.1037/xge0000098

Islan, G. A., Rodenak-Kladniew, B., Noacco, N., Duran, N., and Castro G. R. (2022). Prodigiosin: a promising biomolecule with many potential biomedical applications. *Bioengineered* 13, 14227–14258. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2022.2084498

Kawasaki, T., Sakurai, F., and Hayakawa, Y. (2008). A prodigiosin from the roseophilin producer *Streptomyces griseoviridis*. *J. Nat. Prod.* 71, 1265–1267. doi: 10.1021/np7007494

Kimata, S., Matsuda, T., Suizu, Y., and Hayakawa, Y. (2018). Prodigiosin R2, a new prodigiosin from the roseophilin producer *Streptomyces griseoviridis* 2464-S5. *J. Antibiot.* 71, 393–396. doi: 10.1038/s41429-017-0011-1

Liu, P., Zhu, H., Zheng, G., Jiang, W., and Lu, Y. (2017). Metabolic engineering of *Streptomyces coelicolor* for enhanced prodigiosins (RED) production. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 60, 948–957. doi: 10.1007/s11427-017-9117-x

Lu, Y., Liu, D., Jiang, R., Li, Z., and Gao, X. (2024) Prodigiosin: unveiling the crimson wonder – a comprehensive journey from diverse bioactivity to synthesis and yield enhancement. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1412776. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1412776

Ramesh, C., Anwesh, M., Vinithkumar, N. V., Kirubagaran, R., and Dufossé, L. (2021). Complete genome analysis of undecylprodigiosin pigment biosynthesizing marine *Streptomyces* species displaying potential bioactive applications. *Microorganisms* 9:2249. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9112249

Ramesh, C., Vinithkumar, N. V., Kirubagaran, R., Venil, C. K., and Dufossé, L. (2020). Applications of prodigiosin extracted from marine red-pigmented bacteria *Zooshikella* sp. and actinomycete *Streptomyces* sp. *Microorganisms* 8:556. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8040556

Tsao, S. W., Rudd, B. A., He, X. G., Chang, C. J., and Floss, H. G. (1985). Identification of a red pigment from *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3(2) as a mixture of prodigiosin derivatives. *J. Antibiot.* 38, 128–131. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.38.128

Ul Huda, N., Hassan, N., Ali, H., and Kang Y. (2025). Regulation and molecular biology of prodigiosin by *Serratia marcescens*. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 45, 1680–1699. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2025.2529588