

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY
Vivek P. Chavda,
L.M. College of Pharmacy, India

REVIEWED BY Hemant Khuntia, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, India Nasima Ahmed, Dibrugarh University, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE
Jin Wang

⋈ 13607410137@163.com

†These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 24 June 2025 ACCEPTED 20 October 2025 PUBLISHED 12 November 2025

#### CITATION

Chen J, Yang L, Liu Y, Zhou J, Li Y, Wang J and Zheng Y (2025) Comparison of clinical features between *Chlamydia psittaci* and *Legionella*.

Front. Med. 12:1653394. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1653394

#### COPYRIGHT

Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

© 2025 Chen, Yang, Liu, Zhou, Li, Wang and

# Comparison of clinical features between *Chlamydia psittaci* and *Legionella*

Jiamei Chen<sup>1†</sup>, Libing Yang<sup>1†</sup>, Yuni Liu<sup>2</sup>, Jianliang Zhou<sup>3</sup>, Yongzhong Li<sup>1</sup>, Jin Wang<sup>1\*</sup> and Yixiang Zheng<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Hunan University of Medicine General Hospital, Huaihua, Hunan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Yiyang Third People's Hospital, Yiyang, Hunan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Hunan University of Medicine General Hospital, Huaihua, Hunan, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiangya Hospital, Changsha, Hunan, China

**Objectives:** Traditional diagnostic methods have difficulty distinguishing between *Chlamydia psittaci* (*C. psittaci*) pneumonia and *Legionella* pneumonia (*L.* pneumonia). This study aims to delineate the differences between *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumonia*.

**Methods:** This retrospective analysis included 71 cases of C. psittaci pneumonia and 21 cases of L. pneumonia, all confirmed via next-generation sequencing (NGS). We systematically collected and compared data on clinical characteristics, laboratory findings, chest CT imaging, bronchoscopic observations, and prognostic outcomes between the two groups.

**Results:** In the *C. psittaci* pneumonia cohort, 64 patients (91.4%) had an opportunity to contact with poultry, with a maximum temperature of mean 39.6 °C. Additionally, 23 patients (32.4%) experienced dyspnea, and 57 patients (80.3%) exhibited relative bradycardia. Compared to patients with *L.* pneumonia, those with *C. psittaci* pneumonia had lower leukocyte counts, neutrophil counts, mononuclear cell counts, systemic inflammatory response index (SIRI), and urea levels, while lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR), glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (ALT), glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (AST), and creatine kinase (CK) levels were elevated. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) plays a crucial role in the early diagnosis of infectious pathogens. Multivariate analysis revealed differences in underlying diseases, residing in countryside, relative bradycardia, and LMR between the two groups.

**Conclusion:** Several characteristics aid in differentiating *C. psittaci* pneumonia from *L.* pneumonia, including exposure to poultry, relative bradycardia, some infection indicators, ALT, AST, and CK. NGS addresses the limitations of traditional diagnostic methods. The early application of NGS facilitates the diagnosis of atypical pneumonia. Multivariate regression analysis suggested that underlying diseases, residing in countryside, relative bradycardia, and LMR is significant in differentiating *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L.* pneumonia.

### KEYWORDS

Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia, Legionella pneumonia, clinical features, nextgeneration sequencing, diagnosis

### 1 Introduction

Atypical bacterial pneumonia arises from infection with atypical pathogens that cannot be detected using Gram staining and are challenging to culture using standard methods. Common pathogens include Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia pneumoniae, Legionella pneumophila, and Coxiella burnetii (Q fever) (1, 2). The predominant clinical manifestations encompass systemic and respiratory symptoms. Systemic symptoms, such as headache, low-grade fever, and general malaise, were more pronounced than respiratory symptoms, with the primary respiratory manifestation being a persistent dry cough. Chlamydia psittaci(C. psittaci) Chlamydia psittaci causes a rare form of pneumonia, comprising approximately 1% of community-acquired pneumonia cases, and is difficult to diagnose due to its nonspecific presentation (3, 4). The nonspecific nature of C. psittaci pneumonia's clinical presentation, along with the limited accuracy of conventional diagnostic methods, makes diagnosis particularly challenging (5). Research indicates that Legionella spp. is among the four most common microbial causes of CAP-related hospitalizations (6). In patients with severe CAP requiring hospitalization, 2 to 15% are infected with Legionella (7, 8). The rarity and slow growth of Legionella in non-selective culture-based assays further complicate the diagnosis of such infections (9). The clinical manifestations and examination results of these two pathogens share many similarities. Common symptoms, which range from mild to severe and lack specificity, include fever, chills, cough, expectoration, dyspnea, fatigue, and some extrapulmonary manifestations (10). Research has demonstrated that infections caused by atypical pathogens, such as Legionella and Chlamydia psittaci, can affect multiple organ systems, complicating the differential diagnosis between L. pneumonia and C. psittaci pneumonia.

Next,-generation sequencing (NGS) has been extensively utilized due to its rapid and precise capabilities (11). Although various studies have individually reported on the clinical characteristics of *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *Legionella* pneumonia, there is a dearth of literature that directly compares them. This study aims to elucidate the clinical features, laboratory findings, and imaging results associated with *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L.* pneumonia, offering clinicians essential insights for differentiating these conditions.

### 2 Patients and methods

### 2.1 Patient

A retrospective, single-center study was conducted at the Hunan University of Medicine General Hospital from March 2019 to January 2025. All included patients met the following criteria: (1) Diagnosis of atypical community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in accordance with current clinical guidelines (12). Next-generation sequencing (NGS) diagnosis was performed using bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, lung tissue samples, blood specimens, or sputum samples. The exclusion criteria included

patients with AIDS, tuberculosis, silicosis, active malignant tumors, and other severe underlying lung diseases.

### 2.2 Study design

The clinical characteristics, laboratory examination results, and findings from chest computed tomography (CT) scans laboratory test results, bronchoscopic observations, and prognosis of each patient at the time of admission were systematically extracted from the hospital's electronic medical record system. Specifically: (1) clinical characteristics included demographic information such as age and gender, residential area (urban vs. rural), underlying conditions (hypertension, coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, viral hepatitis, and immunosuppressive therapy), as well as clinical symptoms and signs. (2) laboratory tests comprised a comprehensive panel of blood tests, assessments of liver and kidney function, electrolyte levels, traditional inflammatory markers include C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin (PCT), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and interleukin-6 (IL-6), while novel markers include the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio (MLR), and lymphocyte-to-white blood cell ratio (LWR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), plasma D-dimer concentrations, and myocardial enzyme levels. (3) the chest CT findings provided a detailed assessment of the extent of pulmonary involvement, including the presence of pleural or pericardial effusions, pleural thickening, and mediastinal lymphadenopathy. (4) Bronchoscopic observations revealed mucosal erythema, edema, and secretions.

# 2.3 Next-generation sequencing detection method

Gene sequencing enables the direct acquisition of DNA from all microorganisms present in clinical samples, facilitating the study of microbial DNA composition and community function through genomics (13, 14). This technique is characterized by its rapidity, accuracy, and objectivity (11). It has been extensively applied in the diagnosis and treatment of infectious diseases, demonstrating significant advantages in identifying rare pathogens (15).

### 2.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS software (version 26.0). Continuous variables with normal distribution are reported as means  $\pm$  standard deviations, whereas those with non-normal distribution are presented as medians with interquartile ranges (IQR). Categorical variables are summarized using frequencies and percentages. For the purpose of statistical analysis, continuous data exhibiting a normal distribution were evaluated using the independent samples t-test, while non-normally distributed continuous data were analyzed via the Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical data were assessed using either the  $\chi^2$  test or Fisher's exact test, as deemed appropriate. All p-values reported are two-sided, with statistical significance defined at p < 0.05.

### 3 Results

### 3.1 Clinical characteristics

In this retrospective analysis, clinical data were systematically gathered from 92 patients diagnosed using Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS), which included 71 cases of *C. psittaci* pneumonia and 21 cases of *L.* pneumonia.

Within the *C. psittaci* pneumonia cohort, a majority of patients (91.4%) resided in rural areas, with many having neighbors who kept poultry. This proportion was significantly higher compared to the *L.* pneumonia group (47.6%). All patients with psittaci pneumonia presented with fever, and their maximum recorded temperatures were higher than those observed in patients with *Legionella* pneumonia. Furthermore, patients infected with *C. psittaci* demonstrated a significantly greater incidence of relative bradycardia compared to those with *L. pneumophila* (80.3% versus 23.8%, p = 0.000). furthermore, multivariate analysis revealed differences in Underlying diseases, Residing in countryside and Relative bradycardia between the *Legionella* pneumonia and *Chlamydia psittaci*. The General clinical data, clinical manifestations and Clinical signs are shown in Table 1.

# 3.2 Laboratory parameters

Among patients with *C. psittaci* pneumonia, there were increased levels of the lymphocyte/monocyte ratio (LMR), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and

creatine kinase (CK). Conversely, in the group with *L. pneumophila* pneumonia, patients exhibited higher levels of white blood cells (WBC), neutrophil count, monocyte count, and systemic inflammatory response index (SIRI), and. Furthermore, multivariate analysis revealed differences in LMR between the *Legionella* pneumonia and *Chlamydia psittaci*. The laboratory parameters are detailed in Table 2.

# 3.3 Chest computed tomography and bronchoscopic observations

All patients underwent chest computed tomography (CT) examinations. Among those with *C. psittaci* pneumonia, 31 patients (43.7%) displayed unilateral lung lesions, whereas in the *L. pneumophila* pneumonia group, only 3 patients (14.3%) showed unilateral lung lesions. The chest CT findings are presented in Table 3.

Regarding bronchoscopic observations, 20 patients in the *C. psittaci* pneumonia group and 64 patients in the *L. pneumophila* pneumonia group underwent this procedure. The bronchoscopic findings are also included in Table 3.

# 3.4 Treatment and recovery

Prior to diagnosis, the majority of patients received empirical treatment with a beta-lactam antibiotic, which was ultimately found to be ineffective. Subsequently, samples of bronchoalveolar lavage

TABLE 1 General clinical data, clinical manifestations and clinical signs of patients with C. psittaci pneumonia and L. pneumonia.

Univariate analysis				Multivariate analysis		
Clinical features	Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia (n = 71)	<i>Legionella</i> pneumonia (n = 21)	p value	OR	95% CI	p value
General clinical dat	ta					
Gender (male/female)	46/25	16/5	0.328			
Age (years)	62.410 ± 10.505	62.620 ± 13.673	0.94	1.011	0.965-1.059	0.650
Underlying diseases	35 (49.300%)	15 (71.400%)	0.074	0.286	0.092-0.896	0.032
Residing in countryside	64 (91.400%)	10 (47.600%)	0	0.028	0.002-0.368	0.007
Clinical manifestati	ons		'	'	'	
Maximum temperature	39.600 (39.000, 40.000)	39.000 (38.750, 39.600)	0.003	0.888	0.348-2.264	0.803
Cough	54 (76.100%)	18 (85.700%)	0.548			
Coughing up phlegm	40 (56.300%)	16 (76.200%)	0.102			
Chills	33 (46.500%)	6 (28.600%)	0.145			
Anhelation	23 (32.400%)	14 (66.700%)	0.005	4.041	0.562-29.077	0.165
Clinical signs						
Rough breathing sound	41 (57.700%)	12 (57.100%)	0.961			
Low breathing sound	16 (22.500%)	4 (19.000%)	1			
Wet rales	44 (62.000%)	12 (57.100%)	0.69			
Relative bradycardia	57 (80.300%)	5 (23.800%)	0	0.053	0.005-0.579	0.016
Severe pneumonia	25 (35.200%)	7 (33.300%)	0.874			

TABLE 2 Laboratory parameters of patients with *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L.* pneumonia.

Univariate analysis					Multivariate anal	ysis
Aboratory parameters (Unit)	Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia (n = 71)	Legionella pneumonia (n = 21)	p value	OR	95%CI	p value
WBC (*109 /L)	8.170 ± 2.651	11.771 ± 6.234	0.017	2.268	0.334-15.383	0.402
Hb(g/l)	113.040 ± 21.080	110.760 ± 17.810	0.654			
PLT (*109 /L)	145.000 (117.000,219.000)	131.000(92.500, 187.000)	0.418			
N(%)	88.500 (83.400, 93.400)	88.400 (71.800, 94.450)	0.11			
N (*109 /L)	7.312 ± 2.851	10.511 ± 6.144	0.047	0.420	0.062-2.865	0.376
M (*109 /L)	0.270 (0.160, 0.465)	$0.496 \pm 0.344$	0.04	0.001	0.000-2.502	0.086
L (*109 /L)	0.485 (0.300, 0.738)	0.713 ± 0.515	0.353			
NLR	13.330 (7.423, 26.115)	18.694 (7.978, 35.695)	0.21			
LMR	1.852 (1.305,2.595)	1.187 (0.881,2.146)	0.035	0.459	0.233-0.903	0.024
LWR	0.067 (0.036, 0.109)	0.067 ± 0.058	0.221			
PLR	343.791 (193.083, 467.659)	271.296 (167.040,418.867)	0.421			
SII	2008.460 (982.488, 3980.680)	2262.028 (1090.996,6968.196)	0.692			
SIRI	3.614 (2.170, 6.944)	9.473 ± 7.187	0.004	0.823	0.600-1.131	0.230
CRP(mg/l)	164.900 (121.520, 216.100)	162.112 ± 119.297	0.262			
PCT(ug/l)	0.940 (0.400, 3.260)	0.910(0.285, 2.830)	0.46			
ESR(mm/h)	74.740 ± 34.056	62.470 ± 30.847	0.19			
IL-6(pg/ml)	95.360 (62.790, 342.100)	214.600 (57.765, 933.925)	0.222			
D-dimer(µg/ml)	2.270 (1.230, 5.010)	1.810 (0.675, 4.880)	0.397			
ALT(IU/L)	47.000 (24.000, 94.000)	21.000 (15.000, 40.500)	0.004	1.018	0.985-1.052	0.302
AST(IU/L)	77.000 (38.000, 141.000)	25.000 (14.500, 46.500)	0	1.006	0.976-1.038	0.685
ALB(g/L)	31.727 ± 6.120	31.790 ± 5.163	0.966			
TBIL(umol/L)	12.600 (8.800, 20.300)	11.900 (6.850, 27.150)	0.612			
DBIL(umol/L)	6.000 (4.000, 9.800)	5.900 (2.300, 12.650)	0.561			
CREA-S(umol/L)	87.000 (72.000, 106.000)	94.000 (74.000, 174.000)	0.254			
UA(umol/L)	196.000 (155.000, 270.500)	237.000 (161.000, 371.500)	0.176			
LDH(U/L)	321.000 (255.000, 494.000)	310.480 ± 151.085	0.077			
CK(U/L)	154.000 (82.000, 340.000)	72.000 (32.000, 136.500)	0.008	1.000	0.998-1.002	0.873
CK-MB(IU/L)	13.000 (8.000, 20.000)	15.810 ± 9.108	0.716			
MYO(ug/L)	120.000 (69.000, 443.000)	109.000 (60.500, 336.000)	0.391			
K (mmol/L)	3.769 ± 0.447	4.139 ± 1.055	0.132			
Na (mmol/L)	136.326 ± 6.114	137.481 ± 4.931	0.431			
Cl(mmol/L)	103.450 (99.900, 107.600)	103.324 ± 5.376	0.631			
Ca (mmol/L)	1.970 (1.910, 2.060)	2.031 ± 0.169	0.07			
Corrective Ca (mmol/L)	2.026 ± 0.145	2.077 ± 0.185	0.188			

\*WBC, white blood cell; PLT, platelets; N%, percentage of neutrophils; NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio; MLR, monocyte/lymphocyte ratio; LMR, lymphocyte/monocyte ratio; LWR, lymphocyte ratio; PLR, platelet/ lymphocyte ratio; SII: platelet \*Neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; PCT, procalcitonin; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IL-6, interleukin-6; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TBIL, total bilirubin; DBIL, direct bilirubin; CREA-S, serum creatinine; UA, uric acid; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase isoenzyme; MYO, myoglobin; ALB, albumin.

fluid (BALF), blood, lung tissue, or sputum were collected for nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) analysis to confirm the diagnosis and guide appropriate treatment changes. In the group with *C. psittaci* pneumonia, 51 patients (71.8%) received oxygen therapy; of these, 42 patients utilized a nasal cannula for oxygen inhalation, while 18 patients required ventilator-assisted breathing. Following treatment modifications, 65 patients (91.5%) exhibited gradual clinical and laboratory improvement. In the L. pneumophila pneumonia group, 20 patients (95.2%) received oxygen therapy; among them, 8 patients used a nasal cannula for oxygen inhalation, and 5 patients

TABLE 3 Chest computed tomography and bronchoscopic observations of patients with *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L.* pneumonia.

Inspection results	Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia (n = 71)	Legionella pneumonia (n = 21)	p value					
Chest computed tomography								
Unilateral lesion	31 (43.700%)	3 (14.300%)	0.014					
Irregular and inconsistent shadows	66 (94.300%)	19 (90.500%)	0.619					
Pleural effusion	48 (67.600%)	15 (71.400%)	0.740					
Pericardial effusion	20 (28.200%)	5 (23.800%)	0.693					
Bronchial inflation sign	6 (8.500%)	1 (4.800%)	1.000					
Pleural thickening	36 (50.700%)	9 (42.900%)	0.527					
Mediastinal lymphadenopathy	31 (43.700%)	8 (38.100%)	0.650					
Pulmonary nodular changes	8 (11.400%)	2 (9.500%)	1.000					
Atelectasis	1 (2.900%)	1 (4.800%)	1.000					
Bronchoscopic manifestations								
Bronchial mucosa was obviously congested and swollen	64 (95.500%)	20 (100.000%)	1.000					
Less secretion	42 (62.700%)	13 (65.000%)	0.851					

were treated with ventilator-assisted breathing. After treatment adjustments, 14 patients (66.7%) demonstrated gradual clinical and laboratory improvement.

# 4 Discussion

In atypical pneumonia, both *L. pneumophila* and *C. psittaci* can affect multiple organ systems, and their clinical characteristics are largely the same (16, 17). Research has previously indicated that *C. psittaci* pneumonia is chiefly transmitted through the inhalation of aerosols containing dried secretions or waste from the respiratory systems of infected birds, also through bird bites, contact through the mouth, touching feathers and tissues of infected birds (18, 19). *Legionella* species are commonly found in various natural environments, including water sources and soil, comprising 58 species and 3 subspecies (20). Patients with *L.* pneumonia often have non-specific epidemiological histories, such as recent bathing, travel, or cruise ship exposure (16, 21). In the present study, both groups predominantly consisted of elderly male patients with pre-existing conditions. *C. psittaci* pneumonia was more frequently observed in rural areas, where individuals have increased exposure to poultry.

Previous research has demonstrated that common symptoms of *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumophila* pneumonia include fever, cough, expectoration, dyspnea, and chills (22). The pneumonia caused by *C. psittaci* is known for respiratory and systemic symptoms, including muscle pain, headaches, and central nervous system

involvement. Fever, dyspnea, dry cough, and headache are the most prevalent symptoms, common physical indicators are dry and wet rales in the lungs, paired with a relatively bradycardic pulse (23, 24). L. pneumophila pneumonia typically presents with a subacute onset, with over half of the patients experiencing fatigue, weakness, myalgia, chills, and high fever, often accompanied by a dry cough and chest pain. Some patients may also exhibit hemoptysis, nausea, vomiting, or abdominal diarrhea, and as lung lesions progress, severe cases may develop dyspnea (25). In this study, the majority of patients exhibited fever, cough, expectoration, rough breathing sounds, and wet rales. Patients with C. psittaci pneumonia demonstrated higher body temperatures, a greater incidence of dyspnea, and relative bradycardia. Multivariate analysis revealed most patients with Legionella pneumonia have underlying diseases, while those with Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia mostly residing in countryside and have a relative bradycardia.

Previous research has demonstrated that C. psittaci pneumonia may lead to normal or slightly elevated white blood cell counts (26), as well as increased levels of CRP and ESR in patients (27, 28). In contrast, leukocyte count and procalcitonin (PCT) levels are significantly elevated in cases of L. pneumophila pneumonia (29). New research has validated the effectiveness of inflammatory markers such as LMR, NLR, LWR, PLR, SIRI, and SII in accurately and sensitively reflecting the body's inflammation levels, facilitating the evaluation of various diseases and their prognoses (30, 31). In the present study, the percentage of neutrophils, CRP, ESR, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and other inflammatory markers were significantly elevated in the majority of patients. Importantly, patients with C. psittaci pneumonia had lower levels of white blood cells, neutrophils, monocytes, SIRI, and urea than those with L. pneumophila pneumonia. Conversely, LMR, ALT, AST, and CK levels were higher in patients with *C. psittaci* pneumonia. Pulmonary imaging findings for C. psittaci pneumonia and L. pneumonia are similar, exhibiting diverse yet comparable features, including perihilar ground-glass opacities and unilateral or multilobar consolidation. In this study, C. psittaci pneumonia and L. pneumophila pneumonia were examined. Multivariate analysis showed that the LMR value of Chlamydia psittaci pneumonia was relatively higher.

Pulmonary imaging characteristics of *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumophila* pneumonia exhibit similarities, with both conditions presenting varied findings such as perihilar ground-glass opacities and unilateral or multilobar consolidation (32, 33). In the present study, *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumophila* pneumonia predominantly manifested as irregular and inconsistent shadows accompanied by pleural thickening.

The therapeutic agents deemed effective for *C. psittaci* pneumonia include tetracyclines, quinolones, and macrolides (34, 35). while *L. pneumophila* pneumonia is effectively treated with macrolides, rifampicin, and third-generation quinolones. *C. psittaci* pneumonia generally has a favorable prognosis, with a mortality rate as low as 1% when timely and appropriate treatment is administered, but this rate can increase to 10–20% in the absence of such treatment (36). In contrast, studies indicate that *L. pneumophila* pneumonia has a mortality rate of approximately 7% (37, 38). In this study, 65 patients (91.5%) with *C. psittaci* pneumonia achieved cure following treatment with doxycycline, whereas 14 patients (66.7%) with *Legionella* pneumonia were cured after receiving macrolide therapy.

This study presents several limitations. Firstly, the primary limitation is the inclusion of only 21 patients diagnosed with

L. pneumophila pneumonia; a larger sample size would enhance the robustness of multivariate analyses. Secondly, the study was conducted as a single-sample retrospective analysis, and alternative diagnostic methods were not employed to confirm the diagnosis. Thirdly, urinary antigen testing for L. pneumophila pneumonia was not conducted.

# 5 Conclusion

Although the clinical profile of patients suffering from *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumophila* pneumonia are similar, inflammatory markers were elevated in patients with *L. pneumophila* pneumonia. Additionally, patients with *C. psittaci* pneumonia demonstrated better recovery outcomes compared to those with *L. pneumophila* pneumonia. The early application of next-generation sequencing (NGS) improved the detection rates of both *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L. pneumophila* pneumonia, facilitated treatment guidance, and enhanced patient prognosis. Multivariate regression analysis suggested that underlying diseases, residing in countryside, relative bradycardia, and LMR is significant in differentiating *C. psittaci* pneumonia and *L.* pneumonia.

# Data availability statement

The original data of this article provided by the authors without inappropriate reservations.

### **Author contributions**

JC: Writing – original draft, Data curation. LY: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. YuL: Writing – original draft, Data curation. JZ: Validation, Writing – review & editing. YoL: Writing – review & editing, Supervision. JW: Writing – review & editing, Validation. YZ: Writing – review & editing, Validation.

### References

- 1. Wu D, Xie FJ, Wang YJ, Jiang XH, Zhang GL, Zhang H, et al. Compassionate use of Omadacycline in a down syndrome pre-schooler with critically ill atypical pneumonia caused by macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Infect Drug Resist.* (2025) 18:391–400. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S500982
- 2. Miyashita N. Atypical pneumonia: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Respir Investig.* (2022) 60:56–67. doi: 10.1016/j.resinv.2021.09.009
- 3. Ni Y, Zhong H, Gu Y, Liu L, Zhang Q, Wang L, et al. Clinical features, treatment, and outcome of psittacosis pneumonia: a multicenter study. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2023) 2:ofac518. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofac518
- 4. Teng X, Gong W, Qi T, Li GH, Qu Q, Lu Q, et al. *Chlamydia psittaci* clinical analysis of metagenomic next-generation sequencing confirmed pneumonia: a case series and literature review. *Infect Drug Resist.* (2021) 14:1481–92. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S305790
- 5. Luo C, Lin Y, Chen C, Liu Y, Sun X. Diagnosis of severe pneumonia by metagenomic next-generation sequencing: 2 case reports. *Respir Med Case Rep.* (2022):101709. doi: 10.1016/j.rmcr.2022.101709
- 6. Graham FF, Finn N, White P, Hales S, Baker MG. Global perspective of *Legionella* infection in community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:1907. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19031907

# **Funding**

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation Project (2023JJ50448), Huaihua Science and Technology Plan Project (2020R3331).

# Acknowledgments

Our appreciation goes out to the patients and families involved in the study.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

- 7. Cunha BA. The atypical pneumonias: clinical diagnosis and importance. Clin Microbiol Infect. (2006) 12:12–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01393.x
- 8. Garau J, Calbo E. Community-acquired pneumonia. *Lancet.* (2008) 371:455–8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60216-0
- 9. Li S, Jiang W, Wang CY, Weng L, du B, Peng JM. A case of disseminated legionnaires' disease: the value of metagenome next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of legionnaires. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2022) 9:955955. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.955955
- 10. Shi L, Zhang D, Yang Q, Zhu H. Distinction of clinical features and microbiological methods between chlamydia psittaci and *Legionella pneumophila* pneumonia confirmed by metagenomic next-generation sequencing. *Ann Med.* (2024):2428433. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2024.2428433
- 11. Zhang Y, Song P, Zhang R, Yao Y, Shen L, Ma Q, et al. Clinical characteristics of chronic lung abscess associated with *Parvimonas micra* diagnosed using metagenomic next-generation sequencing. *Infect Drug Resist.* (2021) 14:1191–8. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S304569
- 12. Jones BE, Herman DD, Dela Cruz CS, Waterer GW, Metlay JP, Ruminjo JK, et al. Summary for clinicians: clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Am Thorac Soc.* (2020) 17:133–8. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201909-704CME

- 13. Qian L, Shi Y, Li F, Wang Y, Ma M, Zhang Y, et al. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing of cerebrospinal fluid for the diagnosis of external ventricular and lumbar drainage-associated Ventriculitis and meningitis. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 11:175. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.596175
- 14. Zhao M, Tang K, Liu F, Zhou W, Fan J, Yan G, et al. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing improves diagnosis of osteoarticular infections from abscess specimens: a multicenter retrospective study. *Front Microbiol.* (2020) 11:2034. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.02034
- 15. Wang J, Han Y, Feng J. Metagenomic next-generation sequencing for mixed pulmonary infection diagnosis. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2019) 19:1. 252. doi: 10.1186/s12890-019-1022-4
- 16. Yue R, Wu X, Li T, Chang L, Huang X, Pan L. Early detection of *Legionella pneumophila* and *Aspergillus* by mNGS in a critically ill patient with *Legionella* pneumonia after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation treatment: case report and literature review. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2021) 8:686512. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.686512
- 17. Paul L, Comstock J, Edes K, Schlaberg R. Gestational psittacosis resulting in neonatal death identified by next-generation RNA sequencing of postmortem, formalin-fixed lung tissue. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2018) 5:8. ofy172. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofy172
- 18. Chereau F, Rehn M, Pini A, Kühlmann-Berenzon S, Ydring E, Ringberg H, et al. Wild and domestic bird faeces likely source of psittacosis transmission-a case-control study in Sweden, 2014-2016. *Zoonoses Public Health*. (2018) 65:790–7. doi: 10.1111/zph.12492
- 19. Shaw KA, Szablewski CM, Kellner S, Kornegay L, Bair P, Brennan S, et al. Psittacosis outbreak among workers at chicken slaughter plants, Virginia and Georgia, USA, 2018. Emerg Infect Dis. (2019) 11:2143–5. doi: 10.3201/eid2511.190703
- 20. Wang Y, Dai Y, Lu H, Chang W, Ma F, Wang Z, et al. *Legionella pneumophila* case report: metagenomic next-generation sequencing in diagnosis of pneumonia in a patient after umbilical cord blood stem cell transplantation. *Front Med.* (2021):643473. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.643473
- 21. Cunha BA, Burillo A, Bouza E. Legionnaires' disease. Lancet. (2016) 387:376–85. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)60078-2
- 22. Sharma L, Losier A, Tolbert T, Dela Cruz CS, Marion CR. Atypical pneumonia: updates on *Legionella*, *Chlamydophila*, and *Mycoplasma pneumonia*. *Clin Chest Med*. (2017) 1:45–58. doi: 10.1016/j.ccm.2016.11.011
- 23. Meijer R, van Biezen P, Prins G, Boiten HJ. Multi-organ failure with necrotic skin lesions due to infection with *Chlamydia psittaci*. *Int J Infect Dis*. (2021) 106:262–4. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.03.091
- 24. Fukui S, Kawamura W, Uehara Y, Naito T. A patient with psittacosis from a pigeon: a reminder of the importance of detailed interviews and relative bradycardia. *IDCases*. (2021) 25:e01164. doi: 10.1016/j.idcr.2021.e01164

- 25. Bolliger R, Neeser O, Merker M, Vukajlovic T, Felder L, Fiumefreddo R, et al. Validation of a prediction rule for *Legionella* pneumonia in emergency department patients. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2019) 7:ofz268. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofz268
- 26. Jin W, Liang R, Tian X, Cheng Y, Kong X, He F, et al. Clinical features of psittacosis in 46 Chinese patients. *Enferm Infect Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed)*. (2023) 41:545–8. doi: 10.1016/j.eimce.2022.05.016
- 27. Spoorenberg SMC, Bos WJW, Hannen EJV, Dijkstra F, Heddema ER, van Velzen-Blad H, et al. *Chlamydia psittaci*: a relevant cause of community-acquired pneumonia in two Dutch hospitals. *Neth J Med.* (2016) 2:75.
- 28. Tang J, Tan W, Luo L, Xu H, Li N, Li P. Application of metagenomic next-generation sequencing in the diagnosis of pneumonia caused by *Chlamydia psittaci. Microbiol Spectr.* (2022) 10:4. e0238421. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02384-21
- 29. Ewig S, Tuschy P, Fätkenheuer G. Diagnosis and treatment of *Legionella* pneumonia. *Pneumologie*. (2002) 11:695–703. doi: 10.1055/s-2002-35548
- 30. Yevich S, Gaspar N, Tselikas L, Brugières L, Pacquement H, Schleiermacher G, et al. Percutaneous computed tomography-guided thermal ablation of pulmonary osteosarcoma metastases in children. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2016) 4:1380–6. doi: 10.1245/s10434-015-4988-z
- 31. Saumet L, Deschamps F, Marec-Berard P, Gaspar N, Corradini N, Petit P, et al. Radiofrequency ablation of metastases from osteosarcoma in patients under 25 years: the SCFE experience. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2015) 32:41–9. doi: 10.3109/08880018.2014.926469
- 32. Zhu N, Zhou D, Yuan R, Ruzetuoheti Y, Li J, Zhang X, et al. Identification and comparison of *Chlamydia psittaci, Legionella* and *Mycoplasma pneumonia* infection. *Clin Respir J.* (2023) 5:384–93. doi: 10.1111/crj.13603
- 33. Dueck NP, Epstein S, Franquet T, Moore CC, Bueno J. Atypical pneumonia: definition, causes, and imaging features. *Radiographics*. (2021) 41:720–41. doi: 10.1148/rg.2021200131
- 34. Balsamo G, Maxted AM, Midla JW, Murphy JM, Wohrle R, Edling TM, et al. Compendium of measures to control *Chlamydia psittaci* infection among humans (psittacosis) and pet birds (avian chlamydiosis), 2017. *J Avian Med Surg.* (2017) 3:262–82. doi: 10.1647/217-265
- 35. Lucanska M, Hajtman A, Calkovsky V, Kunc P, Pecova R. Upper airway cough syndrome in pathogenesis of chronic cough. *Physiol Res.* (2020) Suppl 1:S35–s42. doi: 10.33549/physiolres.934400
- 36. Rybarczyk J, Versteele C, Lernout T, Vanrompay D. Human psittacosis: a review with emphasis on surveillance in Belgium. *Acta Clin Belg.* (2019) 75:1–7. doi: 10.1080/17843286.2019.1590889
- 37. Li X, Xiao T, Hu P, Yan K, Wu J, Tu X, et al. Clinical, radiological and pathological characteristics of moderate to fulminant psittacosis pneumonia. *PLoS One.* (2022) 17:986. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0270896
- 38. Yang M, Yang DH, Yang H, Ding SZ, Liu CH, Yin HM, et al. Clinical characteristics of *Chlamydia psittaci* pneumonia infection in central South China. *Infect Dis Ther.* (2022):4. 1631-1647. doi: 10.1007/s40121-022-00662-4