



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Lang Xu,
Shanghai Maritime University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Eva Wanek
✉ eva.wanek@ens.psl.eu

RECEIVED 29 September 2025

ACCEPTED 10 October 2025

PUBLISHED 24 October 2025

CITATION

Wanek E, Esteban-Cantillo OJ and Bourgeois-Gironde S (2025) Correction: Valuing marine plankton: a review of ecosystem services and disservices and an expert assessment of the potential of area-based protection.
Front. Mar. Sci. 12:1715640.
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2025.1715640

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wanek, Esteban-Cantillo and Bourgeois-Gironde. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Correction: Valuing marine plankton: a review of ecosystem services and disservices and an expert assessment of the potential of area-based protection

Eva Wanek^{1*}, Oscar Julian Esteban-Cantillo¹
and Sacha Bourgeois-Gironde^{1,2,3}

¹Institut Jean Nicod, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) 8129, Ecole Normale Supérieure (ENS) - Paris Sciences et Lettres (PSL)/Ecoles des hautes études en sciences sociales (EHESS)/Centre national de la recherche scientifique (CNRS), Paris, France, ²Centre de recherche en économie et droit (CRED), Université Panthéon-Assas, Paris 2, Paris, France, ³Faculty of Law, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel

KEYWORDS

plankton, ecosystem services, ecosystem disservices, expert assessment, economic value, semi-systematic review, marine policy, conservation approaches

A Correction on

[Valuing marine plankton: a review of ecosystem services and disservices and an expert assessment of the potential of area-based protection](#)

By Wanek E, Esteban-Cantillo OJ and Bourgeois-Gironde S (2025) *Front. Mar. Sci.* 12:1607996.
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2025.1607996

In the published article, there was a reporting error regarding the carbon pricing method used by Berzaghi et al. (2025) to estimate the economic value of the biological carbon pump. We reported that a social cost of carbon estimate was used, however the actual measure was a carbon offset market price. Numerically, the results remain identical.

A correction has been made to the section **3 Results**, **3.1 Classification and economic values of marine plankton ES and EDS**, **3.1.1 Ecosystem services**, **3.1.1.2 Regulating services**, Paragraph Number 4:

“Nowicki et al. (2022) assimilated satellite and *in situ* ocean biogeochemical observations to model the entire biological pump and quantify global and regional carbon export and sequestration. They used the Carbon, Acidification, Fisheries, Ecosystems (CAFE) model for their estimation of net primary production. The total amount of carbon exported via the biological pump is estimated at 10.2 Pg per year. The study authors do not provide monetary estimates, but if we use Cavan et al. (2024)’s approach and SCCO₂ range, we obtain the following results: with a conversion factor of 3.67 (EPA, 2024), the biological pump export is 37.43 Pg CO₂ and the total economic value USD 1.91 - 23.99 trillion per year. More recently, Berzaghi et al. (2025) also modelled global

carbon export attributable to the biological pump, using the NEMO-PISCES-APECOSM model which explicitly includes fish and zooplankton vertical migration, and obtained an estimate of 2.81 Pg of carbon per year. They applied a CO₂ offsetting price of USD 90 and subsequently reported a yearly total value of USD 928 billion for this plankton ES”.

The original version of this article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.