



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Adriana Albini,
European Institute of Oncology IEO (IRCCS),
Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE
Stavros P. Papadakos
✉ stavropapadakos@gmail.com

RECEIVED 12 October 2025
ACCEPTED 21 October 2025
PUBLISHED 08 December 2025

CITATION
Papadakos SP, Kouroumalis E, Germanidis G
and Theocharis S (2025) Editorial: Checkpoint
immunotherapy: reshaping the landscape of
gastrointestinal cancer treatment.
Front. Immunol. 16:1723645.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1723645

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Papadakos, Kouroumalis, Germanidis
and Theocharis. This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The
use, distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Checkpoint immunotherapy: reshaping the landscape of gastrointestinal cancer treatment

Stavros P. Papadakos^{1,2*}, Elias Kouroumalis³,
Georgios Germanidis^{4,5} and Stamatios Theocharis¹

¹First Department of Pathology, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece, ²First Academic Department of Gastroenterology, Medical School of National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, General Hospital of Athens "Laiko", Athens, Greece, ³Department of Gastroenterology, Panepistimiako Geniko Nosokomeio Irakleiou (PAGNI) University Hospital, University of Crete Medical School, Heraklion, Greece, ⁴Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, First Department of Internal Medicine, American Hellenic Educational Progressive Association (AHEPA) University Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, ⁵Basic and Translational Research Unit, Special Unit for Biomedical Research and Education, School of Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

KEYWORDS

immune checkpoint inhibitors, gastrointestinal cancers, tumor regression, organ preservation, biomarkers

Editorial on the Research Topic

Checkpoint immunotherapy: reshaping the landscape of gastrointestinal cancer treatment

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) targeting PD-1, PD-L1, and CTLA-4 have become standard treatments for advanced gastric, biliary tract, and colorectal cancers, significantly extending survival, achieving tumor regression, and enhancing organ preservation, particularly in dMMR/MSI-H subtypes (1–4). These therapies enable conversion of unresectable to resectable disease, as seen in gastric and colorectal cancers, and improve quality of life by reducing recurrence and supporting organ-sparing approaches. This editorial synthesizes contributions from recent studies in *Frontiers in Immunology*, highlighting the clinical utility of ICIs across key GI cancers, including gastric/gastroesophageal junction (GC/GEJ) cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), biliary tract cancer (BTC), colorectal/rectal cancer (CRC/RC), and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). This editorial also situates these advancements within the broader immunotherapy landscape.

Clinical utility of immunotherapy in GI cancers

ICIs have demonstrated robust clinical benefits across GI cancers, transforming treatment strategies and outcomes.

Gastric/gastroesophageal junction cancer

ICIs significantly enhance outcomes in advanced GC/GEJ. [Xu et al.](#) demonstrated that first-line ICI plus chemotherapy extends progression-free survival (PFS) to 357 days versus 270 days for chemotherapy alone, with a disease control rate (DCR) of 38% versus 14.5% and manageable adverse events (AEs). Neoadjuvant immunochemotherapy achieves remarkable responses: [Sun et al.](#) reported the first pathological complete response (pCR) in synchronous multiple gastric cancer (SMGC) using tislelizumab plus SOX, enabling R0 resection. Similarly, [Li et al.](#) documented tumor regression in hepatoid adenocarcinoma of the stomach (HAS), reducing serum AFP levels from 52,951.56 ng/mL to 241.04 ng/mL with sintilimab plus SOX, facilitating curative surgery. [Li et al.](#) demonstrated that hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) with CAPOX and sintilimab yields a clinical complete response (cCR) in advanced HAS with peritoneal metastasis, highlighting a novel approach to overcoming therapeutic resistance in advanced gastric cancer. [Yang et al.](#) identified blood-based biomarkers (e.g., CA125, CA199, and PLR) that predict ICI response, improving patient selection. These findings underscore the role of ICIs in prolonging survival, addressing mechanisms of therapeutic resistance in GC/GEJ.

Hepatocellular carcinoma

ICIs improve HCC outcomes when combined with targeted therapies. [Liang et al.](#) reported that postoperative hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) with lenvatinib and PD-1 inhibitors significantly enhances disease-free survival (DFS) in solitary, large HCC, with no increase in hepatic toxicity, leveraging systemic immune activation to reduce recurrence. [Hochnadel et al.](#) identified novel T-cell inhibitory targets (Ngp, Hba-a1, and S100a8) via RNAi screening, with S100A8/S100A9 upregulated in human HCC, offering new immunotherapeutic possibilities. These studies highlight ICIs' ability to extend survival and introduce novel targets in HCC.

Biliary tract cancer

ICIs are a cornerstone of advanced BTC treatment. [Zheng et al.](#) reported a median overall survival (OS) of 15.7 months and a PFS of 8.4 months with first-line ICIs, with an 8.6% incidence of grade 3–4 immune-related AEs, indicating manageable toxicity. Durvalumab shows numerically superior OS compared to sintilimab, suggesting subtype-specific efficacy. These results affirm the robust survival benefits of ICIs in BTC, particularly in first-line settings.

Colorectal/rectal cancer

Immunotherapy excels in dMMR/MSI-H CRC, with [Deng et al.](#) reporting a 75% pCR rate with neoadjuvant ICI therapy, particularly with dual PD-1/CTLA-4 blockade (nivolumab plus

ipilimumab), which enables organ preservation. [Zhang et al.](#) extended these benefits to pMMR/MSS rectal cancer, achieving a 37% pCR rate and a 77% anal preservation rate with neoadjuvant immunotherapy, thus expanding its utility to a resistant subtype. These findings emphasize the role of ICIs in achieving tumor regression and supporting organ-sparing strategies in CRC/RC.

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

[Feng et al.](#) demonstrated that adjuvant immunotherapy after neoadjuvant immunochemotherapy and esophagectomy improves DFS (38.5% vs. 23.9%) and OS (61.5% vs. 37.0%) in ypT+N+ ESCC patients, reducing the risk of recurrence. This sequential approach highlights the value of ICI in improving long-term outcomes in ESCC.

Key research themes

Combination therapies

Combining ICIs with other modalities enhances efficacy. [Zhang et al.](#) reported a 33.3% tumor regression grade (TRG) 0/1 rate with trastuzumab plus chemoimmunotherapy in HER2-positive gastric cancer, supporting its neoadjuvant role. [Wei et al.](#) proposed a phase II trial of nab-paclitaxel plus cadonilimab for second-line GC post-immunochemotherapy failure, exploring immune rechallenge to restore anti-tumor responses. This trial investigated potential biomarkers, such as ctDNA and TMB, to identify responsive patients. [Li et al.](#) introduced HBOT as an immunosensitizing strategy, achieving cCR in HAS by alleviating tumor hypoxia, which suppresses immune responses in the tumor microenvironment (TME). These studies demonstrate how combinations address resistance and improve outcomes.

Biomarker discovery

Biomarker identification is pivotal for precision medicine. [Wen et al.](#) developed a four-gene liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) signature (DACT1, EZH2, PAK2, and PSPC1) for gastric cancer prognosis, with PSPC1 knockdown inhibiting tumor proliferation, suggesting it could be a therapeutic target. [Hochnadel et al.](#) identified Ngp, Hba-a1, and S100a8 as T-cell inhibitors in HCC. These inhibitors were validated in human samples and open new immunotherapeutic avenues. [Yang et al.](#) used peripheral blood markers (e.g., pre-IBIL, post-CA125, and CA199) to predict ICI outcomes in GC/GEJ cancer, enhancing patient stratification. These advances enable tailored treatment by identifying responsive patients.

Cost-effectiveness

Economic analyses address ICI accessibility. [Lang et al.](#) found that cadonilimab plus chemotherapy is cost-effective for GC

patients with PD-L1 CPS ≥ 5 in China (ICER: \$37,499.27/QALY), but not without price reductions. [Zhou et al.](#) reported similar findings for HER2-negative GC/GEJ cancer, with ICERs exceeding willingness-to-pay thresholds, highlighting the need for pricing reforms to ensure equitable access, particularly in resource-limited settings.

Systematic reviews

[Zhang et al.](#) conducted a meta-analysis of neoadjuvant immunotherapy in pMMR/MSS rectal cancer, reporting a 37% pCR rate and a 77% anal preservation rate, with short-course radiotherapy and PD-1 inhibitors outperforming alternative treatment options. This study supports the broader application of immunotherapy in challenging subtypes, complementing clinical findings with robust evidence.

Broader context and future directions

These studies highlight the transformative impact of ICIs on GI cancer management, achieving prolonged survival, tumor regression, and organ preservation across diverse malignancies. Combination therapies, such as ICIs with chemotherapy, targeted agents, or HBOT, address resistance mechanisms, particularly in immunologically “cold” tumors, such as HAS, where hypoxia and immunosuppressive TMEs limit efficacy ([Li et al.](#), [Li et al.](#)). Neoadjuvant and adjuvant strategies, as seen in ESCC and CRC, reduce recurrence rates and enable organ-sparing surgeries, improving quality of life. Biomarker research, including LLPS signatures and novel T-cell targets, advances precision medicine, while real-world studies validate clinical trial findings in diverse populations.

However, challenges persist, including tumor heterogeneity, ICI resistance, and high costs. Heterogeneity, as seen in SMGC and HAS, complicates treatment responses due to interlesional variability ([Sun et al.](#)). Resistance, driven by immunosuppressive TMEs and low tumor mutational burden, limits efficacy in pMMR/MSS cancers, necessitating strategies such as HBOT or immune rechallenge ([Wei et al.](#)). High costs, as noted by [Lang et al.](#) and [Zhou et al.](#), restrict access, particularly in low-resource settings, requiring pricing reforms and global health policy initiatives.

Future research should focus on overcoming resistance through TME modulation. This can be achieved through HBOT, vascular normalization, or novel immune agonists to enhance ICI penetration and immune activation. Validating biomarkers such as TMB, MSI, ctDNA, and peripheral blood markers will improve patient selection, as emphasized by [Yang et al.](#) and [Wen et al.](#). Prospective trials, such as those proposed by [Wei et al.](#) and [Li et al.](#), are critical to confirming the efficacy of immune rechallenge and adjuncts such as HBOT. Multi-omics approaches integrating

genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics will refine personalized treatment, while real-world evidence will validate these approaches in clinical practice.

Conclusion

This Research Topic underscores the profound impact of checkpoint immunotherapy on GI cancer management, demonstrating significant clinical benefits, innovative combination strategies, and biomarkers for personalized care. By addressing efficacy, safety, and accessibility, these findings pave the way for more effective and equitable treatments, inspiring continued innovation to improve outcomes for GI cancer patients worldwide.

Author contributions

SP: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. EK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GG: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ST: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript. Generative AI was used solely for language polishing to enhance clarity and readability.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Gao Z, Wang X, Song T, Wu S, Jin X. Real world effectiveness of chemotherapy plus bevacizumab with immunotherapy in colorectal cancer. *Sci Rep.* (2025) 15:29170. doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-13701-0
2. Chan SL, Lamarca A, Hsu C, Moreno V, Chan LL, Keenan BP. New targets and new drugs for hepatobiliary cancers. *J Hepatol.* (2025). doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2025.08.018 [Epub ahead of print].
3. Papadakos SP, Argyrou A, Michailidou E, Papatheodoridis GV. C-Reactive Protein and Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Bridging Systemic Inflammation and Clinical Prognosis. *Liver Int.* (2025) 45:e70278. doi: 10.1111/liv.70278
4. Wang Z, Wang T. Mechanisms, advances, and challenges of immunotherapy in gastric cancer. *Front Immunol.* (2025) 16:1639487. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1639487