



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Jeffrey C. Glennon,
University College Dublin, Ireland

REVIEWED BY

Arcady A. Putilov,
Institute of Higher Nervous Activity
and Neurophysiology (RAS), Russia
Roya Sharifpour,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE

Jana Kopřivová
✉ jana.koprivova@nudz.cz
Tereza Nekovářová
✉ tereza.nekovařova@nudz.cz

RECEIVED 25 July 2025

ACCEPTED 29 October 2025

PUBLISHED 04 December 2025

CITATION

Kopřivová J, Kaňková Z, Vlček P, Piorecký M,
Maierová L, Bendová Z, Skálová K and
Nekovářová T (2025) Bright light exposure
reduces negative affect and modulates EEG
activity in sleep-deprived and well-rested
adolescents.
Front. Behav. Neurosci. 19:1673093.
doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2025.1673093

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kopřivová, Kaňková, Vlček, Piorecký,
Maierová, Bendová, Skálová and Nekovářová.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other forums
is permitted, provided the original author(s)
and the copyright owner(s) are credited and
that the original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Bright light exposure reduces negative affect and modulates EEG activity in sleep-deprived and well-rested adolescents

Jana Kopřivová 1,2*, Zuzana Kaňková¹, Přemysl Vlček 1,2,
Marek Piorecký 1,3, Lenka Maierová 4, Zdeňka Bendová 1,2,
Kateřina Skálová 1,5 and Tereza Nekovářová 1,5*

¹National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czechia, ²Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia, ³Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czechia, ⁴University Centre for Energy Efficient Buildings, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czechia, ⁵Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czechia

Introduction: This study investigated whether a single morning session of bright light exposure modulates alertness, cognition, mood, and EEG activity in well-rested and partially sleep-deprived adolescents.

Methods: Forty-seven subjects (15–21 years) were assigned to a well-rested (8 h sleep; 9 men, 15 women) or a sleep-deprived group (4 h sleep; 11 men, 12 women). All underwent 30 min of morning bright light exposure, with EEG, cognitive testing, and ratings of sleepiness and affect conducted pre- and post-intervention. Behavioral and electrophysiological changes were compared within and between groups. Associations between changes in EEG activity and behavioral outcomes were explored using correlation analyses.

Results: Bright light significantly reduced negative affect and improved Digit Span Forward task performance. No changes were observed in positive affect, subjective sleepiness, or Digit Span Backward scores. EEG analysis revealed decreased delta activity in the anterior cingulate cortex and increased beta activity in the right insula and fronto-parietal regions. Behavioral and EEG effects were similar across groups; however, only in the sleep-deprived group changes in beta activity significantly correlated with reduced negative affect.

Discussion: These results suggest that bright light may acutely enhance emotional state, cognitive performance, and cortical arousal in adolescents. The link between beta activity and affective improvement under sleep deprivation suggests a potential mechanism by which light supports emotional regulation.

KEYWORDS

bright light, sleep deprivation, adolescents, electroencephalography, mood, cognition, alertness

Introduction

Light plays a key role in shaping the behavior and physiology of organisms (Cajochen, 2007; Vandewalle et al., 2009; LeGates et al., 2014). Environmental factors have long been recognized as central to psychophysical health even in archaic cultures (Novotná, 2023). In addition to visual processing, light regulates several non-image-forming functions, including circadian rhythms, alertness, cognition, and mood (LeGates et al., 2014).

These effects are mediated by intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs), which transmit light information from the retina to the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), the main circadian pacemaker, as well as to other brain regions involved in the regulation of sleep, cognition, and mood (LeGates et al., 2014). Although ipRGCs receive signals from rods and cones, they also contain their own photopigment, melanopsin, with a maximum sensitivity of about 480 nm (Hattar et al., 2002). This arrangement ensures that light in the blue spectrum, even at very low intensities, elicits a greater response in target structures than light absorbed by rods and cones (Gooley et al., 2010).

In recent years, research has increasingly focused on the non-image-forming effects of light, particularly its influence on alertness, cognitive function, and mood (e.g., Cajochen, 2007; Vandewalle et al., 2009; Alkozei et al., 2021; Bjerrum et al., 2024). Daytime light exposure has been reported to enhance alertness and reduce sleepiness (Smolders and de Kort, 2014; Kompier et al., 2021), with blue or blue-enriched light being more effective than longer wavelengths or light with lower color temperatures (Mills et al., 2007; Šmolek et al., 2019). Similarly, bright white light showed a stronger alertness enhancing effect than dim light (Maierova et al., 2016; Phipps-Nelson et al., 2003; Rüger et al., 2006), but its effects are inconsistent in well-rested individuals when the control light level is at least 100 lux (Alwalidi and Hoffmann, 2022). Cognitive benefits of bright light include improved attention, working memory, and reaction time (Okamoto and Nakagawa, 2015), although effects vary with task complexity and time of day (Huiberts et al., 2015). EEG studies support these behavioral findings, showing that bright or blue light decreases low-frequency and increases high-frequency EEG power, indicating greater alertness (Šmolek et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2024). Short-wavelength light also modulates cognitive processing, increasing P300 amplitude and reducing its latency (Okamoto and Nakagawa, 2015; Šmolek et al., 2019).

Light exposure has also been consistently reported to impact mood. While a recent systematic review found that measures of affect were only influenced by narrow-band, long-wavelength light and reported mixed effects for white light, possibly due to high heterogeneity across studies (Bjerrum et al., 2024), several individual studies have reported that even a single light exposure can lead to measurable improvements in mood (Reeves et al., 2012; Leichtfried et al., 2015; Aan Het Rot et al., 2017). Blue-enriched light was found to be superior to standard office lighting in enhancing positive mood and reducing irritability (Viola et al., 2008). Mood improvement after a single exposure to bright light has been observed in individuals with seasonal affective disorder (Reeves et al., 2012), premenstrual mood disturbance (Aan Het Rot et al., 2017) and also in healthy subjects (Leichtfried et al., 2015). Moreover, neuroimaging studies have shown that blue light modulates brain networks involved in emotion regulation (Alkozei et al., 2021; Vandewalle et al., 2010).

Sleep-deprived individuals experience a stronger alerting effect in response to bright light (Alwalidi and Hoffmann, 2022). Bright light has been shown to counteract cognitive decline and decrease sleep pressure in sleep-deprived subjects (Phipps-Nelson et al., 2003; Yokoi et al., 2003) and reduce afternoon decrease in alertness (Zhou et al., 2021). Sleep deprivation has consistently been shown to increase theta and delta EEG power (Münch et al., 2004), which can be suppressed by bright light (Zhang et al.,

2024). Sleep deprivation also alters functional connectivity in the brain, specifically it reduces connectivity between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the amygdala (Shao et al., 2014). Blue light may restore this connectivity (Alkozei et al., 2021), supporting its use to mitigate sleep deprivation effects and enhance mood regulation.

Adolescents face unique challenges related to light exposure due to both biological and environmental factors. Developmental changes in circadian physiology, such as delayed melatonin release (Crowley et al., 2007), coincide with increased evening exposure to light from electronic devices (Hale et al., 2018) and insufficient morning bright light exposure in indoor school environments (Figueiro and Rea, 2010), leading to reduced stimulation of non-image-forming visual pathways. In this context, daytime bright light exposure appears to be particularly beneficial for this specific age group, potentially improving mood regulation, alertness, and cognitive performance. While several studies have examined the effects of bright light on EEG activity, alertness, cognition, and mood in adults, there is limited evidence in adolescents. Long-term bright light exposure has been reported to improve alertness and cognition in this age group (Teicher et al., 2023) and has been suggested as a promising intervention to improve mood and sleep in adolescents (Holtmann et al., 2018). However, whether the immediate effects of bright light differ between sleep-deprived and well-rested adolescents remains an open question.

The purpose of this study was to investigate how a single morning session of bright light exposure modulates alertness, cognitive performance, mood, and EEG patterns in well-rested and partially sleep-deprived healthy adolescents. We included both well-rested and sleep-deprived groups to examine whether the non-image-forming effects of light differ depending on sleep status, and whether bright light may mitigate the consequences of sleep loss.

Materials and methods

Design

This study examined the effects of a 30-min bright light exposure in adolescents pseudo-randomly assigned either to the non-deprived (ND, 8 ± 1 h of sleep) or the sleep-deprived (SD) group who slept 4 h with a delayed bedtime. The following morning, the participants were tested at the National Institute of Mental Health with cognitive tests, self-reported scales, and underwent EEG examinations. All measures were taken twice, before and immediately after light exposure that occurred between 10:00 and 12:00 noon. This time window was selected to reflect a typical mid-morning school period when adolescents are exposed to relatively low indoor light levels. The interval between the first and second EEG measurement was approximately 1 h (64 ± 10 min), the EEG cap was not removed between the two measurements. To minimize the potential confounding effects of seasonal variations in daylight, data collection was restricted to the standard time period (February–March 2022, October–December 2022, and March 2023). The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Mental Health. All participants provided written informed consent; for those under 18,

consent was also obtained from their legal guardians. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants

Fifty-five healthy adolescents participated aged 15–21 years were enrolled in the study. Exclusion criteria included any personal or first-degree family history of psychiatric disorders, a history of head injury resulting in loss of consciousness, any active or untreated medical condition (including neurological or cardiovascular diseases), current or recent substance use problems, neurological disease or MRI-detected brain pathology, any diagnosed chronic sleep disorder or acute sleep problems during the week preceding the study, regular use of medication affecting sleep or alertness, and daily caffeine consumption exceeding 300 mg. Eligibility was determined based on participants' self-report responses to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and for those under 18 years, confirmed by their legal guardians. Eight subjects were excluded due to missing or poor-quality EEG recordings at either the first or second measurement. Of the remaining 47 subjects, 24 were part of the ND group and 23 of the SD group. The final sample consisted of 20 males and 27 females aged 15 to 21 years (median: 16 years, interquartile range: 16–19 years). The SD and ND groups were matched for age ($p = 0.596$) and sex (SD group: 11 men, ND group 9 men, $p = 0.561$). Although participants were not matched for chronotype, screening data from a larger sample ($n = 99$) from which the study participants were recruited indicated that most individuals had an intermediate chronotype (76/99), and none exhibited an extreme chronotype.

Behavioral and cognitive measures

Subjectively experienced emotions were assessed using the Positive and Negative Affect Scale, PANAS (Watson et al., 1988). The PANAS consists of two 10-item scales measuring positive and negative emotions. Each item is scored from 1 to 5. The Stanford Sleepiness Scale, SSS (Hoddes et al., 1972), a single-item self-report questionnaire with a seven-point scale, was administered to assess sleepiness. Cognitive function was assessed using the Digit Span test (Wechsler, 2013) which includes forward (DSF) and backward (DSB) number repetition. The forward task primarily measures short-term memory and attention, while the backward task assesses working memory and executive functions such as cognitive control and inhibition (Wechsler, 2013).

Electroencephalography

Resting-state EEG was recorded using a BrainScope amplifier (M&I, Czech Republic) from 21 electrodes placed according to the international 10–20 system (sampling rate: 1 kHz, band-pass: 0.1–200 Hz). Each recording lasted 20 min (10 min eyes open, 10 min eyes closed), but only eyes-open data were analyzed to reduce vigilance-related variability. Prior to analysis, all electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings underwent rigorous pre-processing by an experienced rater using Brain Vision Analyzer

software (Brain Products GmbH, Germany). The data were band-pass filtered between 1 and 60 Hz, with a notch filter applied at 50 Hz to eliminate power line interference. Ocular artifacts were corrected using Independent Component Analysis (ICA), ensuring the removal of eye movement-related contamination. Additional artifacts, including muscle-related noise and other non-neural interferences, were identified and removed through a meticulous visual inspection by an experienced rater. Only artifact-free, two-second epochs were retained for subsequent quantitative analysis, ensuring the integrity of the dataset for further processing. Data were analyzed using the eLORETA (exact low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography) method in the LORETA-Key software.¹ eLORETA is a linear inverse solution for estimating the intracranial distribution of current density in three-dimensional space by reconstructing the intracerebral electrical sources underlying scalp-recorded activity using a three-shell spherical head model co-registered with Talairach coordinates (Pascual-Marqui, 2002, 2007; Pascual-Marqui et al., 2011). eLORETA estimates the current density distribution in 6,239 voxels with a spatial resolution of 5 mm × 5 mm × 5 mm and is restricted to cortical gray matter and hippocampi. In this study, current density values were computed in six frequency bands: delta (2–4 Hz), theta (4.5–8 Hz), alpha (8.5–12 Hz), beta 1 (12.5–21 Hz), beta 2 (21.5–30 Hz), gamma (30.5–45 Hz).

Light pavilion

The indoor light pavilion is a cubical space with a side length of 2.5 m and seating for up to six people. The entire ceiling and half of one side wall are fitted with LED light sources with a diffuser so that the whole area acts as a flat light source with balanced brightness. The large, sky-like light surface provides glare-free illumination. The system emits balanced-spectrum light (4,500 K, $R_a > 80$) with up to 11,500 lx corneal photopic illuminance, corresponding to $> 11,000$ lx melanopic daylight equivalent (mEDI, International Commission on Illumination, 2018). In this study, 8,000 lx (7,500 lx mEDI) was used. The target mEDI level was derived from the commonly recommended photopic illuminance for bright-light therapy (10,000 lx) and the spectral properties of the fluorescent light device SAD 6000 (Waldmann Medizintechnik, Germany), one of the fluorescent light boxes used in clinical practice during the early era of light therapy. The corresponding mEDI value was calculated according to the CIE S 026/E:2018 standard (International Commission on Illumination, 2018) using the CIE Toolbox S 02.² The lighting technology used in this study (Spectrasol, Czech Patent No. 308363) features a full spectral band with enhanced power in the 460–520 nm range, ensuring strong activation of ipRGCs and non-visual photoreception (Figure 1). Owing to its enhanced spectral power within the melanopically sensitive range, this light source reaches 7,500 lx mEDI already at 8,000 lx photopic illuminance.

¹ <http://www.uzh.ch/keyinst/loreta.htm>

² <https://bit.ly/2T9QLTL>





FIGURE 1

The indoor light (left) pavilion and characteristics of the light (right). The colored area represents the spectral power distribution of the light source. Dashed and dotted lines indicate the spectral sensitivity functions for photopic illuminance (black dashed line) and melanopic illuminance (black dotted line), respectively.

Statistical analysis

Behavioral data

Since most of the variables did not meet the assumption of normality, primary analyses were conducted using non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon signed-rank and Mann–Whitney U tests). Values that were more than 3 interquartile ranges (IQRs) away from the median were considered extreme outliers and excluded from the analysis. Outlier detection was performed across all participants for each variable separately. A total of four outliers were identified across all behavioral variables, two in the SSS scale scores (1 subject from the SD group) and two in the DSB test scores (2 different subjects from the ND group). To assess the robustness of the findings and to examine potential interaction effects, we additionally conducted a two-way robust ANOVA using Yuen's method with 20% trimmed means. This approach accounts for violations of normality and the presence of outliers, which were retained in the analysis.

EEG data

Since the EEG data analyses were paired, it was not necessary to perform normalization of the EEG signal, which is usually required in intergroup comparisons due to interindividual differences in EEG amplitude. Absolute eLORETA current density values for each voxel were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test in the LORETA-KEY software. Non-parametric statistical mapping with 5,000 permutations was used to determine statistical significance (Nichols and Holmes, 2002). All bands were treated simultaneously to ensure that the family-wise type I error did not exceed the nominal level $p = 0.05$ after correction for multiple comparisons across all voxels and all frequencies.

Correlation between EEG and behavioral data

To test possible relationships between EEG changes and changes in mood and cognitive performance, the mean difference in current density (post-intervention minus baseline) in cortical voxels that showed significant differences before and after the intervention was correlated with the difference scores (post-intervention score minus baseline score) on the behavioral scales that showed distinct values after the intervention compared to

baseline. As our data were not normally distributed, the Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated. Values more than 3 IQRs from the median were considered extreme outliers. In total, four outlying values were found in the EEG current density differential score (two values in the delta band — one from the SD and one from the ND group — and one value in the beta 1 and one in the beta 2 frequency band, both from the same subject belonging to the SD group) and were removed before correlation analysis was performed.

Results

Behavioral and cognitive measures

The intervention significantly reduced negative affect in the whole cohort, with no change in positive affect or sleepiness. Similar results were found for both subgroups: negative affect decreased in the ND as well as in the SD group; positive affect and sleepiness remained unchanged. DSF performance improved in the whole group and in the ND subgroup; significance was not reached in the SD subgroup. No significant change was observed in DSB performance across groups (Table 1). At baseline, the SD group showed higher levels of sleepiness and worse performance on the DSF test. Post-intervention, the difference in sleepiness was no longer observed, but the ND group still outperformed on the DSF task. However, differential scores (post minus baseline) did not differ between groups (see Table 2). To further examine whether bright light exposure could alleviate the effects of sleep deprivation, we compared post-intervention outcomes in the SD group to baseline values of the ND group using the Mann–Whitney U test. The SD group remained significantly sleepier (SSS: $U = 177$, $p = 0.041$) than the ND group at baseline, whereas no significant differences were found for affect (PANAS-P, PANAS-N) or cognitive performance (DSF, DSB; all $p > 0.320$).

Trimmed ANOVA analyses partially supported the findings from non-parametric tests. For positive affect, no significant main effects of group ($p = 0.807$), time ($p = 0.277$), or group \times time interaction ($p = 0.811$) were observed. For negative affect, a

TABLE 1 Pre-post changes in affect, sleepiness, and cognitive performance in the total sample and subgroups.

| Measure | Group | Baseline (median, IQR) | Post-intervention (median, IQR) | Pre-post difference (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) | | |
|---------|-------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------|
| | | | | Z | p | r |
| PANAS-P | All | 29 (24–33) | 27 (22–32) | 1.56 | 0.120 | 0.264 |
| | ND | 29.5 (24.25–32.75) | 27 (22.25–30.75) | 1.13 | 0.264 | 0.268 |
| | SD | 29 (21–34) | 28 (20–33) | 1.00 | 0.322 | 0.239 |
| PANAS-N | All | 16 (12–20) | 13 (10–15) | 4.28 | < 0.001 | 0.768 |
| | ND | 14.5 (12–17) | 11.5 (10–14) | 3.38 | < 0.001 | 0.844 |
| | SD | 18 (13–28) | 13 (11–20) | 2.83 | 0.005 | 0.706 |
| SSS | All | 3 (2–3) | 3 (2–3) | 0.38 | 0.690 | 0.080 |
| | ND | 2 (2–3) | 2 (1–3) | 0.60 | 0.549 | −0.181 |
| | SD | 3 (2.75–4) | 3 (2–3) | 1.16 | 0.217 | 0.331 |
| DSF | All | 10 (9–12) | 11 (9–13) | 3.26 | < 0.001 | −0.640 |
| | ND | 11 (9–13) | 12.5 (10.25–14) | 2.83 | 0.004 | 0.760 |
| | SD | 9 (8–12) | 10 (9–12) | 1.78 | 0.070 | −0.500 |
| DSB | All | 7 (6–8) | 7 (6–8) | 1.58 | 0.107 | −0.320 |
| | ND | 7 (6–9) | 8 (7–8) | 1.28 | 0.199 | −0.375 |
| | SD | 7 (6–8) | 7 (5–8) | 0.95 | 0.344 | −0.261 |

The table reports medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) at baseline and after the light intervention, along with Wilcoxon signed-rank test statistics (Z), *p*-values, and effect sizes (*r*) for within-group comparisons. Significant *p*-values are marked in bold. ND, non-deprived group; SD, sleep-deprived group; PANAS-P, positive affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; PANAS-N, negative affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SSS, Stanford Sleepiness Scale; DSF, Digit Span Forward; DSB, Digit Span Backward; *r*, effect size (rank-biserial correlation).

TABLE 2 Behavioral characteristics of the non-deprived (ND) and sleep-deprived (SD) subgroups.

| Measure | ND group (<i>n</i> = 24) | SD group (<i>n</i> = 23) | SD vs. ND difference (Mann–Whitney U test) | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------|----------|
| | Median (IQR) | Median (IQR) | U | <i>p</i> | <i>r</i> |
| Baseline measurement | | | | | |
| PANAS-P | 29.5 (24.25–32.75) | 29 (21–34) | 264.50 | 0.814 | −0.042 |
| PANAS-N | 14.5 (12–17) | 18 (13–28) | 347.50 | 0.130 | 0.259 |
| SSS | 2 (2–3) | 3 (2.75–4) | 382.00 | 0.007 | 0.447 |
| DSF | 11 (9–13) | 9 (8–12) | 172.50 | 0.035 | −0.357 |
| DSB | 7 (6–9) | 7 (6–8) | 229.00 | 0.434 | −0.134 |
| Post-intervention measurement | | | | | |
| PANAS-P | 27 (22.25–30.75) | 28 (20–33) | 269.50 | 0.898 | −0.024 |
| PANAS-N | 11.5 (10–14) | 13 (11–20) | 360.50 | 0.070 | 0.306 |
| SSS | 2 (1–3) | 3 (2–3) | 322.50 | 0.186 | 0.222 |
| DSF | 12.5 (10.25–14) | 10 (9–12) | 163.50 | 0.016 | −0.408 |
| DSB | 8 (7–8) | 7 (5–8) | 216.50 | 0.287 | −0.181 |
| Differential score (post-intervention vs. baseline measurement) | | | | | |
| PANAS-P | −0.5 (−5.5 to 1.75) | −1 (−8 to 3) | 269.00 | 0.890 | −0.025 |
| PANAS-N | −2 (−4 to −0.25) | −2 (−7 to 0) | 290.00 | 0.773 | 0.051 |
| SSS | 0 (−0.75 to 1) | −1 (−1 to 0) | 204.00 | 0.111 | −0.261 |
| DSF | 1 (0–2) | 1 (0–2) | 250.50 | 0.587 | −0.092 |
| DSB | 0 (−1 to 1.25) | 0 (−1 to 1) | 268.50 | 0.879 | −0.027 |

The table shows medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for each group at baseline, after the intervention, and for differential scores (post-pre). Between-group comparisons were conducted using the Mann–Whitney U test; test statistics (U), *p*-values, and effect sizes (*r*) are reported. Significant *p*-values are marked in bold. ND, non-deprived group; SD, sleep-deprived group; PANAS-P, positive affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; PANAS-N, negative affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SSS, Stanford Sleepiness Scale; DSF, Digit Span Forward; DSB, Digit Span Backward; *r*, effect size (rank-biserial correlation).

TABLE 3 Differences in EEG activity before and immediately after a 30-min bright light exposure.

| Brain structure and Brodmann area (BA) | Delta (2–4 Hz) | | Beta 1 (12.5–21 Hz) | | Beta 2 (21.5–30 Hz) | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Mean test stat. | Number of voxels | Mean test stat. | Number of voxels | Mean test stat. | Number of voxels |
| Anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 32) | −3.730 | 8 | | | | |
| Anterior cingulate gyrus (BA 24, 32) | −3.721 | 3 | | | | |
| Medial frontal gyrus (BA 6, 8, 9) | −3.711 | 3 | | | | |
| Insula (BA 13) | | | 3.894 | 14 | 3.771 | 3 |
| Inferior parietal lobule (BA 40) | | | 3.817 | 3 | 3.843 | 26 |
| Precentral gyrus (BA 4, 6) | | | 3.804 | 18 | 3.814 | 46 |
| Postcentral gyrus (BA 1, 2, 3, 40) | | | 3.798 | 16 | 3.855 | 65 |
| Middle frontal gyrus (BA 6) | | | 3.743 | 3 | 3.733 | 5 |

The table lists the areas where significant differences (decrease or increase in EEG activity) were found before and after the intervention. The number of significant voxels for each region and the frequencies and mean permutation-derived test statistics are indicated.

significant main effect of group ($Q = 4.80, p = 0.034$) and time ($Q = 9.32, p = 0.004$) was found, without a significant interaction ($p = 0.752$). *Post-hoc* comparisons revealed that the SD group had overall higher scores than the ND group ($\psi = 4.50, p = 0.034, 95\% \text{ CI } [0.36, 8.65]$) and that there was a significant reduction over time across groups ($\psi = 6.28, p = 0.004, 95\% \text{ CI } [2.14, 10.40]$). For subjective sleepiness, a significant group effect was found ($Q = 7.64, p = 0.008$), indicating higher levels in the SD group regardless of time (time: $p = 0.613$; interaction: $p = 0.336$). This difference was confirmed by *post hoc* analysis ($\psi = 1.49, p = 0.008, 95\% \text{ CI } [0.41, 2.58]$, see [Supplementary Figure 1](#)). DSF scores showed significant main effects of both group ($Q = 12.53, p = 0.001$) and time ($Q = 4.42, p = 0.040$), indicating better performance in the ND group and improvement following the intervention in the whole sample. *Post-hoc* tests confirmed the group difference ($\psi = -3.65, p < 0.001, 95\% \text{ CI } [-5.72, -1.59]$) and the pre–post improvement ($\psi = -2.17, p = 0.040, 95\% \text{ CI } [-4.24, -0.10]$). No significant group \times time interaction was found ($p = 0.498$). For DSB, no significant effects were observed for group ($p = 0.177$), time ($p = 0.411$), or interaction ($p = 0.802$).

Electrophysiological data

In the whole cohort, light exposure led to decreased EEG activity in the delta band and increased activity in the beta 1 and beta 2 bands. Delta reductions were localized mainly in the left anterior cingulate cortex (BA 32), while beta increases were found in the right insula (BA 13) and fronto-parietal regions including the inferior parietal lobule and sensorimotor cortex. For beta 1 the largest differences were observed in the right insula (BA 13), for beta 2 the largest differences were found in the inferior parietal lobule (BA 40; $p < 0.05$, corrected; [Figure 2](#)). Detailed statistics are provided in [Table 3](#).

Importantly, the magnitude of EEG changes did not differ significantly between the SD and ND groups: for delta, $U = 291.00, p = 0.398, r = 0.150, SE = 0.172$; for beta 1, $U = 232.00, p = 0.492, r = 0.121, SE = -0.171$; and for beta 2, $U = 255.00, p = 0.853, r = -0.034, SE = 0.171$.

A separate analysis revealed no significant differences in eLORETA current density between the SD and ND groups before and after the intervention. However, voxel-wise trends observed in the whole group (increased beta, decreased delta) were also present in both subgroups, with post-intervention values shifting in the same direction as in the overall cohort.

Correlation analysis

In the SD group, a greater increase in beta 1 activity in the right insula, frontal, and parietal sources was significantly associated with a greater reduction in negative affect (Spearman's $\rho = -0.434, p = 0.043$). In contrast, the ND group showed no such association ($\rho = -0.009, p = 0.966$), and in the whole cohort, the correlation approached significance ($\rho = -0.268, p = 0.072$). Beta 2 showed a similar negative trend in the SD group ($\rho = -0.340, p = 0.121$). No significant associations were found between EEG changes and DSF performance in any group. Similarly, changes in delta activity did not show significant correlations with changes in negative affect ($\rho = -0.088, p = 0.566$ in the whole group; $\rho = -0.126, p = 0.576$ in the SD group; $\rho = -0.040, p = 0.857$ in the ND group) or with changes in DSF performance ($\rho = 0.094, p = 0.539$ in the whole group; $\rho = 0.046, p = 0.839$ in the SD group; $\rho = 0.140, p = 0.525$ in the ND group). A formal comparison of independent correlations for the beta 1—PANAS-N relationship between the SD and ND groups using Fisher's z revealed that the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant ($z = 1.50, p = 0.134$).

Discussion

A thirty-minute stay in the light pavilion with exposure to bright light reduced negative affect, improved DSF performance, suppressed slow and enhanced fast frequencies in the EEG. Sleep-deprived subjects showed greater sleepiness and worse performance on the DSF task at baseline compared to well-rested subjects. Overall, the data suggest that the light intervention had similar behavioral and electrophysiological effects in both SD and ND




FIGURE 2

Difference in EEG activity assessed by eLORETA before and after light exposure. The voxels with lower or higher EEG activity in the given frequency bands after light exposure are marked in cyan and yellow, respectively ($p < 0.05$, corrected). Each figure is sliced to its own maximum value of the permutation-derived threshold (3.919). The scale exponent was set to 5 to enhance visibility of significant and near-threshold voxels while maintaining figure clarity. eLORETA, exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography.

groups. Individual correlations observed only in the SD group indicate a potential mechanism through which light supports emotional regulation.

Our study revealed a decrease in delta power in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) following exposure to bright light. The dACC plays a critical role in cognitive control and attentional regulation (Bush et al., 2000). However, although increased EEG delta activity has previously been associated with reduced vigilance,

theta activity showed a more specific relationship to individual attentional lapses (Makeig and Jung, 1996). Our finding of decreased delta power in the dACC following bright light exposure is consistent with recent studies employing daytime exposure to bright light and repeated resting-state EEG measurements. Lok et al. (2018) and Luo et al. (2021) both reported significant reductions in delta activity when participants were exposed to 1,200 lx versus 200 lx polychromatic white light for 5 h in

the afternoon. Notably, both studies found that theta activity remained unaffected by light condition, mirroring our findings and suggesting that daytime bright light selectively modulates delta activity rather than broadly suppressing all low-frequency EEG rhythms. These findings contrast with an earlier study by Badia et al. (1991), which found no significant EEG changes during 90-min daytime exposure to bright light (5,000–10,000 lx vs. 50 lx) in the afternoon. However, it is important to note that all three of these studies assessed EEG during light exposure, whereas our study focused on comparing EEG before and after light exposure. The observed reduction in delta power in the dACC following bright-light exposure should therefore be interpreted with caution and requires replication in independent samples using comparable daytime resting-state protocols. Our results show that a 30-min exposure to bright light increased beta-1 and beta-2 activity which is consistent with previous daytime light exposure studies (Lok et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2021). The significant sources were localized in the right insula and fronto-parietal cortical regions, including the inferior parietal lobule, and pre-/postcentral gyrus, which corresponds with previous studies showing light-induced activation in the insula and regions near the intraparietal sulcus (Chen et al., 2021). The insula, particularly its right anterior region, is involved in salience detection, interoception, and integration of autonomic and cognitive functions (Molnar-Szakacs and Uddin, 2022). Similarly, the parietal cortex, specifically Brodmann area 40 including the inferior parietal lobule, plays a critical role in multisensory integration and cognitive processing (Corbetta and Shulman, 2002). Thus, increased beta activity in these areas may reflect enhanced cognitive regulation and behavioral readiness. Although the insula does not receive direct projections from the ipRGCs, it connects with the lateral habenula (Vadovičová, 2014), a structure involved in negative affect regulation (Matsumoto and Hikosaka, 2007) and directly innervated by these retinal cells (LeGates et al., 2014).

Indeed, our study showed that a thirty-minute stay in the light pavilion with exposure to bright light reduced negative affect in both the SD and ND subgroups, while positive affect remained unchanged. This aligns with previous research demonstrating that even a single session of blue or bright light can improve mood, particularly by reducing negative affect. For instance, Alkozei et al. (2021) reported that blue light increased amygdala–prefrontal connectivity, correlating with mood improvement. Similar effects were observed in women with premenstrual dysphoria (Aan Het Rot et al., 2017) and during acute tryptophan depletion, where bright light prevented mood deterioration (Aan Het Rot et al., 2008).

The increase in beta activity after light exposure correlated with a reduction in negative affect only in sleep-deprived individuals. Although the between-group difference in correlation coefficients did not reach statistical significance, this pattern may indicate that the neurophysiological processes engaged by light exposure are more tightly associated with affective changes under sleep deprivation. Sleep and emotional brain function are closely linked (Goldstein and Walker, 2014). Self-reported sleep duration has been negatively related to subjective psychological distress and prefrontal-amygdala connectivity (Killgore, 2013). Sleep deprivation has been shown to affect the communication network between the prefrontal cortex and limbic structures and to adversely modulate the brain's response to negative

aversive stimuli (Yoo et al., 2007). Interestingly, blue light has been found to strengthen functional connectivity between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the amygdala, and the increase in connectivity between these two regions was associated with a reduction in negative affect (Alkozei et al., 2021). Although our study did not specifically assess functional connectivity, the increased beta activity observed after the intervention may indicate the restoration of certain aspects of emotional regulation in response to bright light.

This study has several limitations. First, participants' recent light exposure history was not controlled, which may have contributed to interindividual variability in responses to bright light. However, as all participants were high-school students with regular morning classes starting at 8:00 a.m., their morning light exposure routines were likely similar. Second, the absence of a control light condition limits causal interpretation, as reductions in negative affect may reflect decreasing anxiety over time or the social context of the pavilion. Although interaction occurred before measurements, the social environment during light exposure may have influenced results. The study design also does not fully exclude practice effects on cognitive performance. However, the improvement was limited to DSF, which is relatively resistant to short-term practice, while no gains were observed in the more practice-sensitive DSB task (Wechsler, 2013), suggesting practice alone is an unlikely explanation. Another limitation is the low spatial resolution of eLORETA, especially with only 19 EEG channels, which may limit precise source localization despite the strength of within-subject comparisons (Pascual-Marqui, 2007). Additionally, behavioral and EEG measures were collected shortly after, but not during, light exposure. Given the dynamic nature of light-induced responses (Vandewalle et al., 2006), future studies should consider real-time assessments and higher-resolution methods such as high-density EEG, MEG, or fMRI.

Conclusion

A 30-min session in the light box with exposure to bright, full-spectrum light reduced negative affect, improved cognitive performance, and elicited physiological effects at the EEG level. The most significant changes occurred in the dACC and posterior right insula, regions involved in cognitive control, attention, and emotion regulation. The light intervention had similar behavioral and electrophysiological effects in both SD and ND groups. However, only the SD group exhibited a significant association between increased beta 1 activity and reduced negative affect, indicating a potential mechanism through which light supports emotional regulation. Our findings highlight the potential of bright light interventions to modulate emotional wellbeing and cognitive function in the adolescent population, which is particularly vulnerable to mood dysregulation and often suffers from partial sleep deprivation. Future research may uncover more targeted applications of light exposure to improve mental health and performance, particularly in individuals with emotional dysregulation.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics committee of the National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czech Republic. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participant and participants' legal guardians for those under 18.

Author contributions

JK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. ZK: Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. PV: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MP: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. LM: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. ZB: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. KS: Writing – review & editing. TN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TAČR), project no. FW02020025, by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) Project Brain Dynamics, no. CZ.02.01.01/00/22_008/0004643, by the Johannes Amos Comenius Programme (P JAC) provided by MSMT, reg. number CZ.02.01.01/00/23_025/0008715, and by the project no. 22-10493S provided by the Czech Science Foundation.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the adolescents and their families for their valuable participation and contribution to this study. This manuscript was previously released as a preprint on bioRxiv (Kopřivová et al., 2025).

References

Aan Het Rot, M., Benkelfat, C., Boivin, D. B., and Young, S. N. (2008). Bright light exposure during acute tryptophan depletion prevents a lowering of mood in mildly seasonal women. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* 18, 14–23. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2007.05.00

Conflict of interest

This study was conducted as part of a research project co-funded by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TAČR, project no. FW02020025) and Spectrasol s.r.o., which had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or publication.

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript. Artificial intelligence-powered tools (ChatGPT version 4.0 and DeepL) were used to improve the language and readability of the manuscript. All AI-generated suggestions were thoroughly reviewed and revised by the authors.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy, including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnbeh.2025.1673093/full#supplementary-material>

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The upper panel shows mean Stanford Sleepiness Scale (SSS) scores at baseline and after the light intervention for the sleep-deprived (SD) and non-sleep-deprived (ND) groups. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Although SSS scores tended to decrease in the SD group following light exposure, this change did not reach statistical significance. The lower panel presents boxplots for each condition, illustrating the distribution and variability of individual SSS scores across participants.

Aan Het Rot, M., Miloserdov, K., Buijze, A. L. F., Meesters, Y., and Gordijn, M. C. M. (2017). Premenstrual mood and empathy after a single light therapy session. *Psychiatry Res.* 256, 212–218. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.06.052

- Alkozei, A., Dailey, N. S., Bajaj, S., Vanuk, J. R., Raikes, A. C., and Killgore, W. D. S. (2021). Exposure to blue wavelength light is associated with increases in bidirectional amygdala DLPFC connectivity at rest. *Front. Neurol.* 12:625443. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.625443
- Alwalidi, M., and Hoffmann, S. (2022). Alerting effect of light: A review of daytime studies. *J. Daylighting* 9, 150–163. doi: 10.15627/jd.2022.12
- Badia, P., Myers, B., Boecker, M., Culpepper, J., and Harsh, J. R. (1991). Bright light effects on body temperature, alertness. EEG and behavior. *Physiol. Behav.* 50, 583–588. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(91)90549-4
- Bjerrum, L. B., Nordhus, I. H., Sørensen, L., Wulff, K., Bjorvatn, B., Flo Groeneboom, E., et al. (2024). Acute effects of light during daytime on central aspects of attention and affect: A systematic review. *Biol. Psychol.* 192:108845. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2024.108845
- Bush, G., Luu, P., and Posner, M. I. (2000). Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 4, 215–222. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01483-2
- Cajochen, C. (2007). Alerting effects of light. *Sleep Med. Rev.* 11, 453–464. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2007.07.009
- Chen, Y., Chen, T., and Cai, X. (2021). Light sensitive circuits related to emotional processing underlie the antidepressant neural targets of light therapy. *Behav. Brain Res.* 396:112862. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112862
- Corbetta, M., and Shulman, G. L. (2002). Control of goal directed and stimulus driven attention in the brain. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 201–215. doi: 10.1038/nrn755
- Crowley, S. J., Acebo, C., and Carskadon, M. A. (2007). Sleep, circadian rhythms, and delayed phase in adolescence. *Sleep Med.* 8, 602–612. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2006.12.002
- Figueiro, M. G., and Rea, M. S. (2010). Lack of short-wavelength light during the school day delays dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) in middle school students. *Neuro Endocrinol. Lett.* 31, 92–96.
- Goldstein, A. N., and Walker, M. P. (2014). The role of sleep in emotional brain function. *Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol.* 10, 679–708. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185511
- Gooley, J. J., Rajaratnam, S. M. W., Brainard, G. C., Kronauer, R. E., Czeisler, C. A., and Lockley, S. W. (2010). Spectral responses of the human circadian system depend on the irradiance and duration of exposure to light. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2:31ra33. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3000741
- Hale, L., Kirschen, G. W., LeBourgeois, M. K., Gradisar, M., Garrison, M. M., Montgomery-Downs, H., et al. (2018). Youth screen media habits and sleep: Sleep-Friendly screen behavior recommendations for clinicians, educators, and parents. *Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Clin. N. Am.* 27, 229–245. doi: 10.1016/j.chc.2017.11.014
- Hattar, S., Liao, H. W., Takao, M., Berson, D. M., and Yau, K. W. (2002). Melanopsin-containing retinal ganglion cells: Architecture, projections, and intrinsic photosensitivity. *Science* 295, 1065–1070. doi: 10.1126/science.1069609
- Hoddes, E., Dement, W. C., and Zarcone, V. (1972). Stanford sleepiness scale. *J. Clin. Psychol.* 28, 431–433. doi: 10.1037/107116-000
- Holtmann, M., Mokros, L., Kirschbaum Lesch, I., Kölch, M., Plener, P. L., Ruckes, C., et al. (2018). Adolescent depression: Study protocol for a randomized, controlled, double blind multicenter parallel group trial of bright light therapy in a naturalistic inpatient setting (DeLight). *Trials* 19:568. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2949-0
- Huiberts, L. M., Smolders, K. C. H. J., and De Kort, Y. A. W. (2015). Shining light on memory: Effects of bright light on working memory performance. *Behav. Brain Res.* 294, 234–245. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.07.045
- International Commission on Illumination (2018). *CIE S 026/E:2018 CIE system for metrology of optical radiation for ipRGC influenced responses to light*. Vienna: International Commission on Illumination, doi: 10.25039/S026.2018
- Killgore, W. D. S. (2013). Self reported sleep correlates with prefrontal amygdala functional connectivity and emotional functioning. *Sleep* 36, 1597–1606. doi: 10.5665/sleep.3106
- Kompier, M. E., Smolders, K. C. H. J., and de Kort, Y. A. W. (2021). Abrupt light transitions in illuminance and correlated colour temperature result in different temporal dynamics and interindividual variability for sensation, comfort and alertness. *PLoS One* 16:e0243259. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0243259
- Koprřivová, J., Kankova, Z., Vlcek, P., Piorecky, M., Maierova, L., Bendova, Z., et al. (2025). Bright light exposure reduces negative affect and modulates EEG activity in sleep-deprived and well-rested adolescents. *bioRxiv [Preprint]* doi: 10.1101/2025.07.16.664355
- LeGates, T. A., Fernandez, D. C., and Hattar, S. (2014). Light as a central modulator of circadian rhythms, sleep and affect. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 443–454. doi: 10.1038/nrn3743
- Leichtfried, V., Mair Raggautz, M., Schaeffer, V., Hammerer Lercher, A., Mair, G., Bartenbach, C., et al. (2015). Intense illumination in the morning hours improved mood and alertness but not mental performance. *Appl. Ergon.* 46, 54–59. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2014.07.013
- Lok, R., Woelders, T., Gordijn, M. C. M., Hut, R. A., and Beersma, D. G. M. (2018). White light during daytime does not improve alertness in well-rested individuals. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 33, 637–648. doi: 10.1177/0748730418796036
- Luo, X., Ru, T., Chen, Q., Hsiao, F. C., Hung, C. S., Yang, C. M., et al. (2021). Temporal dynamics of subjective and objective alertness during exposure to bright light in the afternoon for 5 h. *Front. Physiol.* 12:771605. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.771605
- Maierova, L., Borisuit, A., Scartezini, J. L., Jaeggi, S. M., Schmidt, C., and Münch, M. (2016). Diurnal variations of hormonal secretion, alertness and cognition in extreme chronotypes under different lighting conditions. *Sci. Rep.* 6:33591. doi: 10.1038/srep33591
- Makeig, S., and Jung, T. P. (1996). Tonic, phasic, and transient EEG correlates of auditory awareness in drowsiness. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 4, 15–25. doi: 10.1016/0926-6410(95)00042-9
- Matsumoto, M., and Hikosaka, O. (2007). Lateral habenula as a source of negative reward signals in dopamine neurons. *Nature* 447, 1111–1115. doi: 10.1038/nature05860
- Mills, P. R., Tomkins, S. C., and Schlangen, L. J. M. (2007). The effect of high correlated colour temperature office lighting on employee wellbeing and work performance. *J. Circadian Rhythms* 5:2. doi: 10.1186/1740-3391-5-2
- Molnar-Szakacs, I., and Uddin, L. Q. (2022). Anterior insula as a gatekeeper of executive control. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 139:104736. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104736
- Münch, M., Knoblauch, V., Blatter, K., Schröder, C., Schnitzler, C., Kräuchi, K., et al. (2004). The frontal predominance in human EEG delta activity after sleep loss decreases with age. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 20, 1402–1410. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03580.x
- Nichols, T. E., and Holmes, A. P. (2002). Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: A primer with examples. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 15, 1–25. doi: 10.1002/hbm.1058
- Novotná, M. (2023). The concept of health in Old Norse narrative literature. *Quaest. Medii. Aevi Novae* 28, 221–235. doi: 10.57632/QMAN.2023.28.09
- Okamoto, Y., and Nakagawa, S. (2015). Effects of daytime light exposure on cognitive brain activity as measured by the ERP P300. *Physiol. Behav.* 138, 313–318. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.10.013
- Pascual-Marqui, R. D. (2002). Standardized low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA): Technical details. *Methods Find Exp. Clin. Pharmacol.* 24(Suppl. D), 5–12.
- Pascual-Marqui, R. D. (2007). Discrete, 3D distributed, linear imaging methods of electric neuronal activity. Part 1: Exact, zero error localization. *arXiv [Preprint]* doi: 10.48550/arXiv.0710.3341
- Pascual-Marqui, R. D., Lehmann, D., Koukkou, M., Kochi, K., Anderer, P., Saletu, B., et al. (2011). Assessing interactions in the brain with exact low-resolution electromagnetic tomography. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 369, 3768–3784. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2011.0081
- Phipps-Nelson, J., Redman, J. R., Dijk, D. J., and Rajaratnam, S. M. W. (2003). Daytime exposure to bright light, as compared to dim light, decreases sleepiness and improves psychomotor vigilance performance. *Sleep* 26, 695–700. doi: 10.1093/sleep/26.6.695
- Reeves, G. M., Nijjar, G. V., Langenberg, P., Johnson, M. A., Khabazghazvini, B., Sleemi, A., et al. (2012). Improvement in depression scores after 1 hour of light therapy treatment in patients with seasonal affective disorder. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 200, 51–55. doi: 10.1097/NMD.0b013e31823e56ca
- Rüger, M., Gordijn, M. C. M., Beersma, D. G. M., De Vries, B., and Daan, S. (2006). Time-of day dependent effects of bright light exposure on human psychophysiology: Comparison of daytime and nighttime exposure. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 290, R1413–R1420. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00121.2005
- Shao, Y., Lei, Y., Wang, L., Zhai, T., Jin, X., Ni, W., et al. (2014). Altered resting state amygdala functional connectivity after 36 hours of total sleep deprivation. *PLoS One* 9:e112222. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112222
- Smolders, K. C. H. J., and de Kort, Y. A. W. (2014). Bright light and mental fatigue: Effects on alertness, vitality, performance and physiological arousal. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 39, 77–91. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.12.010
- Šmotek, M., Vlček, P., Saifutdinova, E., and Koprřivová, J. (2019). Objective and subjective characteristics of vigilance under different narrow-bandwidth light conditions: Do shorter wavelengths have an alertness enhancing effect? *Neuropsychobiology* 78, 238–248. doi: 10.1159/000502962
- Teicher, M. H., Bolger, E., Garcia, L. C. H., Hafezi, P., Weiser, L. P., McGrenery, C. E., et al. (2023). Bright light therapy and early morning attention, mathematical performance, electroencephalography and brain connectivity in adolescents with morning sleepiness. *PLoS One* 18:e0273269. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0273269

- Vadovičová, K. (2014). Affective and cognitive prefrontal cortex projections to the lateral habenula in humans. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 8:819. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00819
- Vandewalle, G., Balteau, E., Phillips, C., Degueldre, C., Moreau, V., Sterpenich, V., et al. (2006). Daytime light exposure dynamically enhances brain responses. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1616–1621. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.031
- Vandewalle, G., Maquet, P., and Dijk, D. J. (2009). Light as a modulator of cognitive brain function. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 13, 429–38. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2009.07.004
- Vandewalle, G., Schwartz, S., Grandjean, D., Wuillaume, C., Balteau, E., Degueldre, C., et al. (2010). Spectral quality of light modulates emotional brain responses in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 19549–19554. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010180107
- Viola, A. U., James, L. M., Schlangen, L. J. M., and Dijk, D. J. (2008). Blue enriched white light in the workplace improves self reported alertness, performance and sleep quality. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* 34, 297–306. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.1268
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A., and Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 54, 1063–1070. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.54.6.1063
- Wechsler, D. (2013). *Wechsler adult intelligence scale – Třetí vydání (WAIS-III), česká verze*. Praha: Hogrefe – Testcentrum.
- Yokoi, M., Aoki, K., Shiomura, Y., Iwanaga, K., and Katsuura, T. (2003). Effect of bright light on EEG activities and subjective sleepiness to mental task during nocturnal sleep deprivation. *J. Physiol. Anthropol. Appl. Hum. Sci.* 22, 257–263. doi: 10.2114/jpa.22.257
- Yoo, S. S., Gujar, N., Hu, P., Jolesz, F. A., and Walker, M. P. (2007). The human emotional brain without sleep—a prefrontal amygdala disconnect. *Curr. Biol.* 17, R877–R878. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2007.08.007
- Zhang, N., Liu, C., Hou, C., Wang, Z., He, X., and Gao, W. (2024). The application in electroencephalogram technology for investigating indoor lighting environments: A review. *J. Build Eng.* 94:110020. doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110020
- Zhou, Y., Chen, Q., Luo, X., Li, L., Ru, T., and Zhou, G. (2021). Does bright light counteract the post lunch dip in subjective states and cognitive performance among undergraduate students? *Front. Public Health* 9:652849. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.652849