

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY
Thalia Fernandez,
National Autonomous University of Mexico,
Mexico

REVIEWED BY
Cecilia Boccalini,
University of Geneva, Switzerland
Yiru Yang,
Shandong University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Silvia Ottaviani ⊠ silvia.ottaviani96@gmail.com

RECEIVED 26 March 2025 ACCEPTED 29 August 2025 PUBLISHED 18 September 2025

CITATION

Ottaviani S, Tagliafico L, Peruzzo S, Ponzano M, Signori A, Nencioni A and Monacelli F (2025) Beyond traditional proxies: the contribution of leisure to cognitive reserve and dementia. Front. Aging Neurosci. 17:1600798. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2025.1600798

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ottaviani, Tagliafico, Peruzzo, Ponzano, Signori, Nencioni and Monacelli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Beyond traditional proxies: the contribution of leisure to cognitive reserve and dementia

Silvia Ottaviani^{1,2}*, Luca Tagliafico^{1,2}, Stefania Peruzzo^{1,2}, Marta Ponzano³, Alessio Signori³, Alessio Nencioni^{1,2} and Fiammetta Monacelli^{1,2}

¹Section of Geriatrics, Department of Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties (DIMI), University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy, ²IRCCS Ospedale Policlinico San Martino, Genoa, Italy, ³Department of Health Sciences - Section of Biostatistics, University of Genoa, Genoa, Italy

Introduction: Cognitive reserve (CR) is a multidimensional construct based on lifelong engagement in cognitively stimulating domains, including education, occupation and leisure activities, that plays a crucial role in mitigating the presentation of dementia. To date, the contribution of each CR subdomain in the development of dementia is under-investigated. This study is aimed at assessing the association of CR subdomains with cognitive status, accounting for sex and age in an old-age population.

Methods: 317 older adults were recruited with a diagnosis of subjective cognitive impairment, mild cognitive impairment, and dementia due to Alzheimer's disease or mixed-type dementia. Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire (CRIq) was used to assess CR. Patients were stratified based on sex and dementia staging (CDR). Significant variables from univariate analysis entered a multivariate ordinal regression model, using CDR as the dependent variable.

Results: The results showed that the leisure activities subdomain was the main determinant of cognitive status (OR 0.90, 95%Cl 0.82–1.00, p = 0.003); CR and sex did not show any interaction.

Discussion: Unlike education and occupation, leisure activities may be considered a lifelong, dynamic contributor to CR. These findings highlight the importance of refining CR assessment, with particular attention to leisure activities as a potentially modifiable target for dementia prevention.

KEYWORDS

gender medicine, leisure acitivites, cognitive resilience, CRI, sex difference, Alzheimer's disease

Introduction

Ageing involves significant changes in an individual's physiological reserve that may account for a decline in physical, social and cognitive function. In this context, dementia is a key relevant medical and social challenge, influenced by intrinsic and environmental-based factors and leading to highly individualized variation in disease clinical expression between individuals. So far, the implementation of preventative approaches, based on the systematic prevention of modifiable risk factors, is largely emphasized, accounting for 40% of preventable worldwide cases (Livingston et al., 2024).

Namely, Cognitive Reserve (CR) is a cumulative construct shaped by lifelong engagement in cognitively stimulating activities, including education, work, and leisure activities (Stern,

2009). CR can be defined as "adaptability that helps explain the differential susceptibility of cognitive abilities or daily functioning to brain ageing" (Stern et al., 2020). The impact of CR on cognitive aging displays a threshold model (Lövdén et al., 2020), where higher educational and occupational attainment, as well as intellectual engagement, contribute to cognitive skills that persist into later life, delaying the onset of dementia symptoms (Soldan et al., 2017; Kato et al., 2022). This aligns with findings that individuals with higher CR can better tolerate neurodegenerative burden before exhibiting cognitive impairment or dementia (Van Loenhoud et al., 2022; Stern, 2012). Recent advancements in CR analysis, including EEG, eye tracking, and neuroimaging techniques, hold the promise to unveil neural mechanisms of resilience (Menardi et al., 2018), providing a non-invasive real-time assessment of brain activity (Medeiros et al., 2024), enhancing emotional recognition and the timely detection of cognitive impairment (Jiang et al., 2019; Tokushige et al., 2023).

Dementia is a leading cause of mortality in women in high-income countries with a faster and more severe clinical progression compared to men (Stern, 2009; Stern et al., 2020). Emerging evidence underscores that both biological and social determinants may shape sex differences in cognitive resilience and in the development of dementia (Emrani and Sundermann, 2025). Understanding such differences may allow for addressing peculiarities in terms of pathophysiological biomarkers, disease progression, treatment response, and targeted lines of prevention.

Recently, Arenaza-Urquijo et al. suggested that women tend to experience a more rapid cognitive decline as dementia progresses, potentially due to faster rates of amyloid and tau accumulation (Arenaza-Urquijo et al., 2024), as reported in prior studies, addressing the need for further investigation on the role of sex differences in modulating CR.

In the context of the operationalization of CR, there is no established gold standard (Kartschmit et al., 2019; Nogueira et al., 2022). While education is commonly used as a proxy, other indicators such as occupational attainment (Hakiki et al., 2021), reading ability (O'Shea et al., 2015), intelligence quotient (Alty et al., 2023), and composite measures have also been proposed (Nucci et al., 2012) to reflect the individual CR. The multidimensional Cognitive Reserve Index Questionnaire (CRIq) (Nucci et al., 2012) has been developed to integrate three distinct CR subdomains—education, occupational activity, and leisure time—and has been cross-culturally validated in multiple languages and different populations, including older adults (Kartschmit et al., 2019; Nogueira et al., 2022; Ottaviani et al., 2024).

To date, studies investigating sex differences applied to the construct of CR are suboptimal and, similarly, there are no in-depth analyses on which subdomain of the multidimensional construct of CR might play a key relevant role in predicting the risk of dementia (Rouillard et al., 2017).

Drawing upon available scientific background, the present study is aimed at assessing the association of CR subdomains with cognitive status, while accounting for sex and age, in an old-age outpatient population.

Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study included 317 consecutive patients attending the outpatient geriatric memory clinic of the IRCCS San

Martino Polyclinic Hospital (Genoa, Italy), from September 2022 to March 2023. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Genoa (protocol code 54, 2024-06-12).

Inclusion criteria were: age 65 years and older, diagnosis of Subjective Cognitive Impairment [SCI (Jessen et al., 2014)], Mild Cognitive Impairment [MCI (American Psychiatric Association, 2013)] or dementia of Alzheimer's type, and mixed dementia, according to DSM-V diagnostic criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Exclusion criteria were: diagnosis of major psychiatric disorders or psychosis; diagnosis of dementia of other neurodegenerative types (e.g., dementia with Lewy bodies, vascular dementia); diagnosis of major depression (American Psychiatric Association, 2013); diagnosis of incident delirium (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Cognitive status was evaluated at baseline using the Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE (Frisoni et al., 1993)], and the Clinical Dementia Rating Scale [CDR (Morris, 1993)] was used to stage dementia severity. CR was measured using CRIq (Nucci et al., 2012). Supplementary material S1 contains the full details of the CRIq.

Throughout this study, we will use the term "sex," while acknowledging that many disease-related differences arise from a complex interplay of biological and sociocultural factors that are difficult to disentangle; it is crucial to recognize that this binary framework does not fully capture the complexity of sex and gender (Emrani and Sundermann, 2025).

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of patients' clinical phenotype was conducted and the stratification based on sex and cognitive status was performed. Namely, based on CDR staging, patients who scored zero were categorized as cognitively intact; patients who scored 0.5, 1, 2, and 3, were staged as having MCI, mild stage dementia, moderate stage dementia and advanced stage dementia, respectively. The appropriate Mann–Whitney test was applied.

All variables with p-value <0.10 at the univariate analysis were selected to enter a multivariate ordinal regression model (Ranganathan et al., 2017) with CDR score (categorized as follows: 0 vs. 0.5 vs. 1–4) as the dependent variable. The independent variables included age, CRIq subitems, and disease duration, with sex added as an adjustment variable. To explore the relationship between sex and CR, all interaction terms between CRIq subitems and sex were also tested.

An additional sex-adjusted univariate and multivariate linear regression model using the MMSE score as the dependent variable, and a logistic regression model with binary CDR (0 vs. 0.5–4) as the dependent variable were performed as part of the sensitivity analyses, as well as a non-sex-adjusted ordinal regression model.

All reported analyses were run by RStudio (Version 2022.07) and a two-sided α less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patients had a mean age of 84 years (IQR 7, range 67–95), with a higher prevalence of females (67%)(Table 1). The median MMSE score was 23.3, with no sex differences. Namely, 80 patients had a diagnosis

TABLE 1 Patients' clinical phenotype.

Total sample <i>N</i> = 317	N (%), median (IQR)		
Age	84 (7)		
Females	213 (67%)		
CDR			
0	80 (25%)		
0.5	77 (24%)		
1–4	160 (51%)		
MMSE	23.3 (8.3)		
Disease duration (years)	1.18 (2.69)		
CRIq			
Total	97 (27)		
Education	100 (19)		
Occupation	92 (29)		
Leisure	103 (35)		

CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating scale; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; CRIq, Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire.

of SCD (25.2%), 77 patients had a diagnosis of MCI (24.3%), and 160 patients received a diagnosis of dementia (50.5%). The median disease duration was 1.18 years.

Women showed lower scores across all CRIq subdomains (education, work, leisure) (Table 2), indicating a sex difference.

Based on CDR staging, patients with MCI or dementia (CDR score of 0.5 or higher) were more likely to exhibit lower CRIq leisure subdomain scores compared to cognitively intact individuals (CDR score of 0), suggesting a lower lifetime engagement in leisure activities.

After adjusting for age, disease duration, and sex, the ordinal regression model showed an association between the CRIq leisure score and cognitive status (OR = 0.90, 95%CI: 0.82–1.00, p = 0.003). No statistically significant interactions were found between sex and any of the CRIq domains (Table 3). Results were consistent in a sensitivity analysis performed without adjusting for sex (Supplementary material S2).

The linear regression model (MMSE score as the dependent variable) supported the association of CRIq leisure and cognitive status (β = 0.08, 95%CI: 0.02–0.14, p = 0.006), as did the multivariate logistic regression model with the binarized CDR score as outcome (OR = 0.91, 95%CI: 0.82–1.00, p = 0.046) (see Supplementary materials S3 and S4, respectively).

Discussion

In the last decades, growing evidence has investigated the role of CR in older adults with different types of cognitive decline, mainly pertaining to education and occupation. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is among the few studies to investigate the role of CR subdomains in mediating the association with cognitive status in older adults. We sought to analyze differences in CR subdomains and their influence on cognitive status in a real-world cohort of older adults.

Based on our results, women exhibited lower overall CRIq scores, indicating a sex-related disadvantage in the accumulation of CR, supporting existing literature (Nucci et al., 2012; Maiovis et al., 2016;

Slavić et al., 2022). Such findings might be attributed to the fact that women have historically had fewer opportunities to build CR due to limited access to education and fewer opportunities for employment complexity (Subramaniapillai et al., 2021). Previous research has shown that older women show relatively better cognitive performance in countries with more egalitarian gender-role attitudes, an effect that is partially mediated by differences in education and labor force participation (Bonsang et al., 2017).

Our results also showed that leisure time is a crucial component of CR and it is associated with dementia staging, even after adjusting for age, disease duration and sex. In line with that, Del Ser et al. showed that leisure activities were significantly associated with cognitive function, accounting for more than 20% of the variance (Del Ser et al., 2023). Similarly, Leung et al. found that higher leisure activity levels were associated with a lower risk of MCI (Leung et al., 2024), and Verghese et al. underscored that leisure activities showed the main protective role on the risk of developing dementia compared to physical activity (Verghese et al., 2003), although reverse causality was not excluded.

Notably, Pa et al. (2022) demonstrated that leisure activities contributed to the maintenance of cognitive processing speed in both men and women, while the contribution of CR to sustaining memory may be subject to sex-specific differences.

It could be hypothesized that, unlike education and occupation, which may be considered static constructs, as they are typically established earlier in life and are no longer modifiable in old age, leisure activities could be promoted throughout life, offering a dynamic enhancement of CR and cumulating until late life. Moreover, leisure time includes several activities and also takes into account years of practice. Social engagement and social participation—such as maintaining interpersonal relationships, participating in community activities, and engaging in group-based learning or recreational programs—may offer a dual benefit in shaping CR. On one hand, it promotes cognitive functioning by stimulating communication, problem-solving, and cognitive flexibility (Evans et al., 2019; Krueger et al., 2009). On the other hand, it mitigates loneliness and social vulnerability, ascertained risk factors for dementia.

No significant interaction was found between CR and sex, suggesting that sex does not intervene to mediate the relationship between CR and cognitive status. In other words, once CR is accumulated, its protective effects on cognitive status appear to be similar for both sexes. This could imply that, despite differences in CRIq scores, men and women benefit from CR in comparable ways; what makes a difference is the accumulation of such baggage, which appears strongly genderized. Alternatively, the lack of interaction may be the result of limited statistical power or unmeasured factors (e.g., social support, genetic predisposition) that warrant further investigation.

Limitations include the monocentric setting and the crosssectional nature of the study design, which may reduce the generalizability of the findings.

Strengths include the analysis of the CR construct in a real-world old-age population using a validated CR multidimensional instrument such as the CRIq.

Longitudinal studies are warranted to investigate interaction or causation terms and to integrate biomarkers from neuroimaging, plasma/cerebrospinal fluid, and functional imaging, as well as APOE4 status, to refine our understanding of the biological basis of CR. For instance, recent advances in neuroimaging have underscored the value of multimodal

TABLE 2 Patients' clinical phenotype as for cognitive status (CDR 0 vs. 0.5-4) and as for sex.

Variables	CDR = 0 (median)	CDR = 0.5-4 (median)	<i>p</i> value	Female (median)	Male (median)	<i>p</i> value
	N = 80	N = 237		N = 213	N = 104	
Age	83	83.4	0.471	83.2	83.5	0.51
MMSE	28	21.4	< 0.001	23.2	23.3	0.747
CRIq						
Total	99	96	0.084	94	106	< 0.001
Education	102	99	0.125	98	103	0.036
Occupation	93.5	92	0.711	84	97	< 0.001
Leisure	107	101	0.023	98	107	0.019

CDR: Clinical Dementia Rating scale; MMSE: Mini Mental State Examination; CRIq: Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire.

TABLE 3 Multivariate ordinal regression model with CDR score (0 vs. 0.5 vs. 1–4) as the dependent variable, including interaction terms between sex and CR subdomains.

Variables	Univariate model		Multivariate model		
	OR (95% CI)	p value	OR (95% CI)	p value	
Age	1.07 (1.03–1.11)	0.011	1.05 (1.01-1.10)	0.018	
Sex (ref: female)	0.82 (0.52-1.27)	0.371	0.64 (0.38-1.07)	0.089	
Disease duration (+ 1 year)	1.21 (1.09–1.36)	<0.001	1.19 (1.06–1.34)	0.003	
CRIq education (+10 pt)	0.90 (0.74–1.00)	0.054	1.00 (0.98-1.10)	0.997	
CRIq occupation (+10 pt)	0.90 (0.82-1.00)	0.020	1.00 (0.90-1.10)	0.371	
CRIq leisure (+10 pt)	0.82 (0.74-0.91)	< 0.001	0.90 (0.82-1.00)	0.003	
Sex*CRIq education	1.00 (0.97–1.04)	0.810			
Sex*CRIq occupation	1.01 (0.99–1.04)	0.360			
Sex*CRIq leisure	0.99 (0.97-1.01)	0.438			

CRIq, Cognitive Reserve Index questionnaire.

approaches in exploring the neural basis of CR. Martínez et al. demonstrated through magnetoencephalography that individuals with higher CR exhibited more efficient functional network configurations during memory tasks, requiring fewer and more optimally distributed connections (Martínez et al., 2018). Other studies have identified specific brain network features related to CR using PET and fMRI (Lee et al., 2019), while dynamic network models based on spatio-temporal graph theory offer promising tools for detecting topological biomarkers of CR (Zhu et al., 2024).

Moreover, since CRIq categorizes activities based on their frequency (daily, monthly, yearly) rather than their nature (e.g., intellectual, social, or physical), more structured analysis may help establish whether particular types of activity, such as social leisure, contribute in a different manner to CR.

In conclusion, this study may be a platform for developing future research aimed at integrating biological, clinical, and socio-cultural factors to provide a more comprehensive understanding of CR and its role in shaping cognitive status in older age. Our data may support adopting a dementia care approach that emphasizes the role of CR—particularly through leisure activities—which should be considered a lifelong modifiable risk factor.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Committee for Ethical Review of Research at the University of Genoa. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was obtained either directly from participants or, when necessary, from their legal guardians/next of kin.

Author contributions

SO: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. LT: Writing – review & editing, Methodology. SP: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis. MP: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AS: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AN: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. FM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Any alternative text (alt text) provided alongside figures in this article has been generated by Frontiers with the support of artificial intelligence and reasonable efforts have been made to ensure accuracy,

including review by the authors wherever possible. If you identify any issues, please contact us.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2025.1600798/full#supplementary-material

References

Alty, J. E., Bindoff, A. D., Stuart, K. E., Roccati, E., Collins, J. M., King, A. E., et al. (2023). Sex-specific protective effects of cognitive reserve on age-related cognitive decline: a 5-year prospective cohort study. *Neurology* 100, e211–e219. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000201369

American Psychiatric Association (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders: DSM-5. 5th Edn. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Association

Arenaza-Urquijo, E. M., Boyle, R., Casaletto, K., Anstey, K. J., Vila-Castelar, C., Colverson, A., et al. (2024). Sex and gender differences in cognitive resilience to aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* 20, 5695–5719. doi: 10.1002/alz.13844

Bonsang, E., Skirbekk, V., and Staudinger, U. M. (2017). As you sow, so shall you reap: gender-role attitudes and late-life cognition. *Psychol. Sci.* 28, 1201–1213. doi: 10.1177/0956797617708634

Del Ser, T., Valeriano-Lorenzo, E., Jáñez-Escalada, L., Ávila-Villanueva, M., Frades, B., Zea, M. A., et al. (2023). Dimensions of cognitive reserve and their predictive power of cognitive performance and decline in the elderly. *Front. Dement.* 2:1099059. doi: 10.3389/frdem.2023.1099059

Emrani, S., and Sundermann, E. E. (2025). Sex/gender differences in the clinical trajectory of Alzheimer's disease: insights into diagnosis and cognitive reserve. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 77:101184. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2025.101184

Evans, I. E. M., Martyr, A., Collins, R., Brayne, C., and Clare, L. (2019). Social isolation and cognitive function in later life: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *J Alzheimer's Dis* 70, S119–S144. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180501

Frisoni, G. B., Rozzini, R., Bianchetti, A., and Trabucchi, M. (1993). Principal lifetime occupation and MMSE score in elderly persons. *J. Gerontol.* 48, S310–S314. doi: 10.1093/geronj/48.6.S310

Hakiki, B., Pancani, S., Portaccio, E., Molino-Lova, R., Sofi, F., Macchi, C., et al. (2021). Impact of occupational complexity on cognitive decline in the oldest-old. *Aging Ment. Health* 25, 1630–1635. doi: 10.1080/13607863.2020.1746739

Jessen, F., Amariglio, R. E., Van Boxtel, M., Breteler, M., Ceccaldi, M., Chételat, G., et al. (2014). A conceptual framework for research on subjective cognitive decline in preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. 10, 844–852. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2014.01.001

Jiang, J., Yan, Z., Sheng, C., Wang, M., Guan, Q., Yu, Z., et al. (2019). A novel detection tool for mild cognitive impairment patients based on eye movement and electroencephalogram. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 72, 389–399. doi: 10.3233/JAD-190628

Kartschmit, N., Mikolajczyk, R., Schubert, T., and Lacruz, M. E. (2019). Measuring cognitive reserve (CR) – a systematic review of measurement properties of CR questionnaires for the adult population. PLoS One 14:e0219851. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219851

Kato, T., Nishita, Y., Otsuka, R., Inui, Y., Nakamura, A., Kimura, Y., et al. (2022). Effect of cognitive reserve on amnestic mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease defined by fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14:932906. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.932906

Krueger, K. R., Wilson, R. S., Kamenetsky, J. M., Barnes, L. L., Bienias, J. L., and Bennett, D. A. (2009). Social engagement and cognitive function in old age. *Exp. Aging Res.* 35, 45–60. doi: 10.1080/03610730802545028

Lee, D. H., Lee, P., Seo, S. W., Roh, J. H., Oh, M., Oh, J. S., et al. (2019). Neural substrates of cognitive reserve in Alzheimer's disease spectrum and normal aging. *NeuroImage* 186, 690–702. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.053

Leung, Y., Eramudugolla, R., Cherbuin, N., Peters, R., Mortby, M. E., Kiely, K. M., et al. (2024). Estimating gender differences in the association between cognitive resilience and mild cognitive impairment incidence. *Gerontology* 70, 776–784. doi: 10.1159/000538615

Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Liu, K. Y., Costafreda, S. G., Selbæk, G., Alladi, S., et al. (2024). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2024 report of the lancet standing commission. *Lancet* 404, 572–628. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)01296-0

Lövdén, M., Fratiglioni, L., Glymour, M. M., Lindenberger, U., and Tucker-Drob, E. M. (2020). Education and cognitive functioning across the life span. *Psychol. Sci. Public Interest* 21, 6–41. doi: 10.1177/1529100620920576

Maiovis, P., Ioannidis, P., Nucci, M., Gotzamani-Psarrakou, A., and Karacostas, D. (2016). Adaptation of the cognitive reserve index questionnaire (CRIq) for the Greek population. *Neurol. Sci.* 37, 633–636. doi: 10.1007/s10072-015-2457-x

Martínez, J. H., López, M. E., Ariza, P., Chavez, M., Pineda-Pardo, J. A., López-Sanz, D., et al. (2018). Functional brain networks reveal the existence of cognitive reserve and the interplay between network topology and dynamics. *Sci. Rep.* 8:10525. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28747-6

Medeiros, J., Simões, M., Castelhano, J., Abreu, R., Couceiro, R., Henriques, J., et al. (2024). EEG as a potential ground truth for the assessment of cognitive state in software development activities: a multimodal imaging study. *PLoS One* 19:e0299108. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0299108

Menardi, A., Pascual-Leone, A., Fried, P. J., and Santarnecchi, E. (2018). The role of cognitive Reserve in Alzheimer's disease and aging: a multi-modal imaging review. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 66, 1341–1362. doi: 10.3233/JAD-180549

Morris, J. C. (1993). The clinical dementia rating (CDR): current version and scoring rules. Neurology 43:2412. doi: 10.1212/wnl.43.11.2412-a

Nogueira, J., Gerardo, B., Santana, I., Simões, M. R., and Freitas, S. (2022). The assessment of cognitive reserve: a systematic review of the Most used quantitative measurement methods of cognitive reserve for aging. *Front. Psychol.* 13:847186. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.847186

Nucci, M., Mapelli, D., and Mondini, S. (2012). Cognitive reserve index questionnaire (CRIq): a new instrument for measuring cognitive reserve. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* 24, 218–226. doi: 10.1007/BF03654795

O'Shea, D. M., Fieo, R. A., Hamilton, J. L., Zahodne, L. B., Manly, J. J., and Stern, Y. (2015). Examining the association between late-life depressive symptoms, cognitive function, and brain volumes in the context of cognitive reserve. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 30, 614–622. doi: 10.1002/gps.4192

Ottaviani, S., Tagliafico, L., Muzyka, M., Page, E., Ottaviani, E., Ponzano, M., et al. (2024). Tipping the balance between cognitive reserve, frailty, and dementia in the very old? *J Alzheimer's Dis* 101, 1227–1235. doi: 10.3233/JAD-231121

Pa, J., Aslanyan, V., Casaletto, K. B., Rentería, M. A., Harrati, A., Tom, S. E., et al. (2022). Effects of sex, APOE4, and lifestyle activities on cognitive reserve in older adults. *Neurology* 99, e789–e798. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000200675

Ranganathan, P., Pramesh, C. S., and Aggarwal, R. (2017). Common pitfalls in statistical analysis: logistic regression. *Perspect. Clin. Res.* 8, 148–151. doi: 10.4103/picr.PICR_87_17

Rouillard, M., Audiffren, M., Albinet, C., Ali Bahri, M., Garraux, G., and Collette, F. (2017). Contribution of four lifelong factors of cognitive reserve on late cognition in normal aging and Parkinson's disease. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 39, 142–162. doi: 10.1080/13803395.2016.1207755

Slavić, D., Tomić, V., Nikolašević, Ž., Djurdjević, N., and Naumović, N. (2022). Age and gender differences in the cognitive reserve index. *Ann. Indian Acad. Neurol.* 25, 767–770. doi: 10.4103/aian.aian_1106_21

Soldan, A., Pettigrew, C., Cai, Q., Wang, J., Wang, M. C., Moghekar, A., et al. (2017). Cognitive reserve and long-term change in cognition in aging and preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 60, 164–172. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2017.09.002

Stern, Y. (2009). Cognitive reserve. Neuropsychologia 47, 2015–2028. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.03.004

Stern, Y. (2012). Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Lancet Neurol. 11, 1006–1012. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70191-6

Stern, Y., Arenaza-Urquijo, E. M., Bartrés-Faz, D., Belleville, S., Cantilon, M., Chetelat, G., et al. (2020). Whitepaper: defining and investigating cognitive reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. *Alzheimers Dement.* 16, 1305–1311. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.219

Subramaniapillai, S., Almey, A., Natasha Rajah, M., and Einstein, G. (2021). Sex and gender differences in cognitive and brain reserve: implications for Alzheimer's disease in women. *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 60:100879. doi: 10.1016/j.yfrne.2020.100879

Tokushige, S., Matsumoto, H., Matsuda, S., Inomata-Terada, S., Kotsuki, N., Hamada, M., et al. (2023). Early detection of cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease using eye tracking. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 15:1123456. doi: 10.3389/fnagi. 2023.1123456

Van Loenhoud, A. C., Groot, C., Bocancea, D. I., Barkhof, F., Teunissen, C., Scheltens, P., et al. (2022). Association of education and intracranial volume with cognitive trajectories and mortality rates across the Alzheimer disease continuum. *Neurology* 98, e1679–e1691. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000000200116

Verghese, J., Lipton, R. B., Katz, M. J., Hall, C. B., Derby, C. A., Kuslansky, G., et al. (2003). Leisure activities and the risk of dementia in the elderly. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 348, 2508–2516. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa022252

Zhu, Q., Li, S., Meng, X., Xu, Q., Zhang, Z., Shao, W., et al. (2024). Spatio-temporal graph Hubness propagation model for dynamic brain network classification. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 43, 2381–2394. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2024.3363014